# Some arithmetic convolution identities

# Kenneth S. Williams

# The Ramanujan Journal

An International Journal Devoted to the Areas of Mathematics Influenced by Ramanujan

ISSN 1382-4090 Volume 43 Number 1

Ramanujan J (2017) 43:197-213 DOI 10.1007/s11139-015-9745-1

Vol. 43 No. 1 May 2017 ISSN 1382-4090  $\phi(-v) + \chi(v) = 2 F(v)$ Y(2) fer) Mach D F(0) -1+9  $\phi(v) = g + z^{4}(1+z) + z^{3}(1+z)(1+z^{3}) +$  $\begin{aligned} & \psi(\mathcal{P}) \text{n-International+Journal/Devoted to the Areas of} \\ & \text{Mathematics Influenced by Ramanujan} \\ & \chi(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{1-\vartheta} + \frac{\vartheta}{(1-\vartheta^{-1})^{-1}(1-\vartheta^{-1})} + (1-\vartheta^{-1})^{-1}(1-\vartheta^{-1}) \end{aligned}$ F(1) = 1-9 + 1-9 have got similar Much vor functions of 9 th (i) 1 + 9/ - 9/2 + 8/ - 8/51(1)  $\begin{array}{c} (11) & \frac{3}{1-y} + \frac{3}{1-y} + \frac{3}{1-y-1} + \frac{1}{1-y} + \frac{3}{1-y-1} + \frac{1}{1-y} + \frac{1}{1-y} + \frac{y^2}{(1-y)(1-y^2)} + \frac{y^2}{(1-y)} \\ \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{c} \text{These are not related} \\ \text{other} \end{array}$ 🕗 Springer



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science +Business Media New York. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be selfarchived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".





# Some arithmetic convolution identities

Kenneth S. Williams<sup>1</sup>

Received: 17 July 2015 / Accepted: 17 October 2015 / Published online: 19 January 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** Let *n* be a positive integer. Let  $\delta_3(n)$  denote the difference between the number of (positive) divisors of *n* congruent to 1 modulo 3 and the number of those congruent to 2 modulo 3. In 2004, Farkas proved that the arithmetic convolution sum

$$D_3(n) := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_3(j) \delta_3(n-j)$$

satisfies the relation

$$3D_3(n) + \delta_3(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \exists td}} d.$$

In this paper, we use a result about binary quadratic forms to prove a general arithmetic convolution identity which contains Farkas' formula and two other similar known formulas as special cases. From our identity, we deduce a number of analogous new convolution formulas.

**Keywords** Divisor functions  $\cdot$  Arithmetic convolution identities  $\cdot$  Representations by binary quadratic forms  $\cdot$  Sums of two binary quadratic forms

### Mathematics Subject Classification 11A25 · 11E25

Kenneth S. Williams kennethwilliams@cunet.carleton.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Centre for Research in Algebra and Number Theory, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada

## **1** Introduction

As usual let  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the sets of positive integers, integers and rational numbers, respectively. In 2004, Farkas [7, Theorem 1] showed using the theory of theta functions that the arithmetic function

$$\delta_{3}(n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d|n \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d|n \\ d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}}} 1$$
(1.1)

satisfies the relation

$$3\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\delta_3(j)\delta_3(n-j) + \delta_3(n) = \sigma(n) - 3\sigma(n/3)$$
(1.2)

for all positive integers n, where

$$\sigma(m) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d \mid m}} d & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

(If  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , we understand throughout this paper that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ .) In 2005, Farkas [8] derived the arithmetic identity

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\delta_4(j)\delta_4(n-j) + \delta_4(n) = \sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)$$
(1.4)

for all positive integers n, where

$$\delta_{4}(n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d|n \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d|n \\ d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} 1.$$
(1.5)

This identity was reproved by Raji [14] in 2008 using eta products and their logarithmic derivatives.

In 2009, Guerzhoy and Raji [9] showed that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_7(j) \delta_7(n-j) + \delta_7(n) = \sigma(n) - 7\sigma(n/7)$$
(1.6)

for all positive integers n, where

$$\delta_{7}(n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d \mid n \\ d \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d \mid n \\ d \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}}} 1.$$
(1.7)

In Sect. 2, we prove a general arithmetic convolution identity, see Theorem 2.1, from which the identities (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6) follow. Theorem 2.1 is proved using a result from the theory of binary quadratic forms, specifically an extension of a theorem of Dirichlet due to Kaplan and Williams [11] giving the number of representations of a positive integer *n* by a representative system of inequivalent, primitive, positive-definite, integral, binary quadratic forms with fundamental discriminant. In order to apply Theorem 2.1, one requires the number of representations of *n* by certain quaternary quadratic forms of the type  $a_1x^2 + b_1xy + c_1y^2 + a_2z^2 + b_2zt + c_2t^2$  of which there are many examples in the literature. Then, in Sect. 3, we deduce from Theorem 2.1 twelve new arithmetic convolution identities similar to (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6), see Theorem 3.1. Further examples illustrating Theorem 2.1 are given in Sects. 4 and 5.

#### 2 A general arithmetic convolution identity

We begin with some definitions.

**Definition 2.1** An integer *D* is called a discriminant if *D* is not a perfect square and  $D \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4).

**Definition 2.2** A discriminant *D* is called a fundamental discriminant if there is no integer g > 1 such that  $g^2 \mid D$  and  $D/g^2 \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4).

**Definition 2.3** Let *D* be a negative discriminant. Let  $\left(\frac{D}{*}\right)$  be the Legendre–Jacobi–Kronecker symbol. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\delta_{|D|}(n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d|n}} \left(\frac{D}{d}\right).$$
(2.1)

For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3\\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -1 & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4\\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\frac{-7}{d}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}, \\ -1 & \text{if } d \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \end{cases}$$

so that (2.1) agrees with (1.1), (1.5) and (1.7) when D = -3, -4 and -7, respectively. We now recall a result from the theory of binary quadratic forms.

**Proposition 2.1** Let D be a negative fundamental discriminant. Let

$$\{f_i(x, y) = a_i x^2 + b_i xy + c_i y^2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, h\}$$

be a representative set of inequivalent, primitive, positive-definite, integral, binary quadratic forms of discriminant D. (The positive integer h = h(D) is called the class number of discriminant D.) Let n be a positive integer. Let N(n, d) denote the number of representations of n by the forms  $f_i(x, y)(i = 1, 2, ..., h)$ , that is

$$N(n, D) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \operatorname{card}\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid f_i(x, y) = n\}.$$

Then

$$N(n, D) = w(D)\delta_{|D|}(n),$$

where

$$w(D) = \begin{cases} 6 & if \quad D = -3, \\ 4 & if \quad D = -4, \\ 2 & if \quad D < -4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof* This is the special case of an extension of a formula due to Dirichlet proved by Kaplan and Williams [11] when the discriminant D is restricted to be negative and fundamental.

We now state our main result.

**Theorem 2.1** Let k and  $\ell$  be positive integers. Let D and E be negative fundamental discriminants. Let

$$\{f_i(x, y) = a_i x^2 + b_i xy + c_i y^2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, h(D)\}\$$

and

$$\{g_j(z,t) = A_j z^2 + B_j z t + C_j t^2 \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, h(E)\}\$$

be representative sets of inequivalent, primitive, positive-definite, integral, binary quadratic forms of discriminants D and E, respectively. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., h(D)\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., h(E)\}$ , let

$$N(kf_i + \ell g_i; n) := \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid n = kf_i(x, y) + \ell g_i(z, t)\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} w(D)w(E) \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathbb{N}^2\atop kr+\ell s=n} \delta_{|D|}(r)\delta_{|E|}(s) + w(D)h(E)\delta_{|D|}(n/k) + w(E)h(D)\delta_{|E|}(n/\ell) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{h(D)} \sum_{j=1}^{H(E)} N(kf_i + \ell g_j; n). \end{split}$$

*Proof* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h(D)} \sum_{j=1}^{H(E)} N(kf_i + \ell g_j; n) = \sum_{i=1}^{h(D)} \sum_{j=1}^{H(E)} \sum_{\substack{(r,s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ kr + \ell s = n}} \sum_{\substack{(r,s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ f_i(x,y) = r}} \sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ f_i(x,y) = r}} 1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{h(E)} \sum_{\substack{(z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ g_j(z,t) = s}} 1 \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{(z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ g_j(z,t) = s}} 1 \right).$$

In the sum over  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  satisfying  $kr + \ell s = n$ , we cannot have (r, s) = (0, 0) as  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the same sum, the terms with s = 0 contribute

$$\sum_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{N} \\ r=n/k}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{h(D)} \sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ f_i(x,y)=r}} 1 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{h(E)} \sum_{\substack{(z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ g_j(z,t)=0}} 1 \right)$$
$$= N(n/k, D) \sum_{j=1}^{h(E)} 1 = w(D) \delta_{|D|}(n/k) h(E)$$

by Proposition 2.1, where  $N(n/k, D) = \delta_{|D|}(n/k) = 0$  if  $k \nmid n$ . Similarly, the terms in the sum with r = 0 contribute

$$w(E)\delta_{|E|}(n/\ell)h(D).$$

Finally the terms with  $r \neq 0$  and  $s \neq 0$  contribute

$$\sum_{\substack{(r,s)\in\mathbb{N}^2\\kr+\ell s=n}} N(r,D)N(s,E) = w(D)w(E)\sum_{\substack{(r,s)\in\mathbb{N}^2\\kr+\ell s=n}} \delta_{|D|}(r)\delta_{|E|}(s)$$

by Proposition 2.1. Putting the contributions together, we obtain the asserted identity.  $\Box$ 

We conclude this section by deducing identities (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6) from Theorem 2.1.

To prove Farkas' identity (1.2), we take D = E = -3 and  $k = \ell = 1$  in Theorem 2.1. With this choice, we have h(D) = h(E) = h(-3) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-3) = 6,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + zt + t^2$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

card {
$$(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 | n = x^2 + xy + y^2 + z^2 + zt + t^2$$
} =  $12\sigma(n) - 36\sigma(n/3)$ . (2.2)

This formula goes back to Liouville [12]. An elementary proof of Liouville's formula was given in 2002 by Huard, Ou Spearman and Williams [10, Theorem 13] and in 2008 by Chapman [6]. Then Theorem 2.1 gives

$$36\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\delta_3(j)\delta_3(n-j) + 12\delta_3(n) = N(f_1 + g_1; n) = 12\sigma(n) - 36\sigma(n/3)$$

from which (1.2) follows on dividing by 12.

To prove Farkas' identity (1.4), we take D = E = -4 and  $k = \ell = 1$  in Theorem 2.1. With this choice, we have h(D) = h(E) = h(-4) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-4) = 4,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + t^2$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have Jacobi's well-known formula

card {
$$(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 | n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2$$
} =  $8\sigma(n) - 32\sigma(n/4)$ . (2.3)

A simple arithmetic proof of Jacobi's formula was given in 2000 by Spearman and Williams [15]. Theorem 2.1 gives

$$16\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\delta_4(j)\delta_4(n-j) + 8\delta_4(n) = N(f_1 + g_1; n) = 8\sigma(n) - 32\sigma(n/4)$$

from which (1.4) follows on dividing by 8.

To prove Guerzhoy and Raji's identity (1.6), we take D = E = -7 and  $k = \ell = 1$ in Theorem 2.1. With this choice, we have h(D) = h(E) = h(-7) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-7) = 2,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + xy + 2y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + zt + 2t^2$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

card {
$$(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$$
 |  $n = x^2 + xy + 2y^2 + z^2 + zt + 2t^2$ } =  $4\sigma(n) - 28\sigma(n/7)$ ,

which was proved arithmetically by the author [16] in 2006. Then Theorem 2.1 gives

$$4\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\delta_7(j)\delta_7(n-j) + 4\delta_7(n) = N(f_1 + g_1; n) = 4\sigma(n) - 28\sigma(n/7)$$

from which Guerzhoy and Raji's identity (1.6) follows on dividing by 4.

### 3 Twelve new arithmetic convolution identities

In this section, we derive from Theorem 2.1 twelve new arithmetic convolution identities which are analogous to (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6). We put the twelve identities together as Theorem 3.1. In what follows  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the field of complex numbers and [x]denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to the real number *x*.

Part (ix) of Theorem 3.1 involves the function c(n) ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) defined by

$$q\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{2n})^2 (1-q^{10n})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |q| < 1.$$
(3.1)

Clearly c(n) = 0 for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . It is known that c(n) is a multiplicative function of n [13, Table 1, p. 4853].

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following identities hold:

(i) 
$$6 \sum_{\substack{1 \le m < n/2 \\ = \sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) + 3\sigma(n/3) - 6\sigma(n/6).}} \delta_3(m) \delta_3(n - 2m) + \delta_3(n) + \delta_3(n/2) = \sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) + 3\sigma(n/3) - 6\sigma(n/6).$$
  
(ii) 
$$6 \sum_{\substack{1 \le m < n/3 \\ = (2 + 3[n/3] - n)\sigma(n) - 6\sigma(n/3).} \delta_3(m) \delta_3(n - 4m) + \delta_3(n) + \delta_3(n/4) = \sigma(n) - 3\sigma(n/2) - 3\sigma(n/3) + 4\sigma(n/4) + 9\sigma(n/6) - 12\sigma(n/12).$$
  
(iv) 
$$6 \sum_{\substack{1 \le m < n/4 \\ = \sigma(n) - 2A\sigma(n/2) + 5\sigma(n/3) - 10\sigma(n/6),} \delta_3(n - 6m) + \delta_3(n) + \delta_3(n/6) = A\sigma(n) - 2A\sigma(n/2) + 5\sigma(n/3) - 10\sigma(n/6), where$$

$$A = \begin{cases} -1 & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(v) 
$$6 \sum_{\substack{r,s \ge 1 \\ 2r+3s=n}} \delta_3(r) \delta_3(s) + \delta_3(n/2) + \delta_3(n/3) \\ = B\sigma(n) - 2B\sigma(n/2) + 5\sigma(n/3) - 10\sigma(n/6), \\ where$$

$$B = \begin{cases} -1 & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(vi) 
$$4 \sum_{1 \le m < n/2} \delta_4(m) \delta_4(n - 2m) + \delta_4(n) + \delta_4(n/2) \\ = \sigma(n) - \sigma(n/2) + 2\sigma(n/4) - 8\sigma(n/8).$$
  
(vii) 
$$4 \sum_{1 \le m < n/3} \delta_4(m) \delta_4(n - 3m) + \delta_4(n) + \delta_4(n/3) \\ = \sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) - 3\sigma(n/3) + 4\sigma(n/4) + 6\sigma(n/6) - 12\sigma(n/12).$$
  
(viii) 
$$8 \sum_{1 \le m < n/4} \delta_4(m) \delta_4(n - 4m) + 2\delta_4(n) + 2\delta_4(n/4) \\ = \left(1 + \left(\frac{-4}{n}\right)\right) \sigma(n) - \sigma(n/2) + 4\sigma(n/8) - 16\sigma(n/16).$$
  
(ix) 
$$12 \sum_{1 \le m < n/5} \delta_4(m) \delta_4(n - 5m) + 3\delta_4(n) + 3\delta_4(n/5) \\ = \sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4) + 5\sigma(n/5) - 20\sigma(n/20) + 2c(n).$$
  
(x) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \delta_8(m) \delta_8(n - m) + \delta_8(n) \\ = \sigma(n) - \sigma(n/2) + 2\sigma(n/4) - 8\sigma(n/8).$$
  
(xi) 
$$2 \sum_{1 \le m < n/2} \delta_8(m) \delta_8(n - 2m) + \delta_8(n) + \delta_8(n/2) \\ = \sigma(n) - \sigma(n/2) + 4\sigma(n/8) - 16\sigma(n/16).$$
  
(xii) 
$$2 \sum_{1 \le m < n/2} \delta_{11}(m) \delta_{11}(n - 2m) + \delta_{11}(n) + \delta_{11}(n/2) \\ = \sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) + 11\sigma(n/11) - 22\sigma(n/22).$$

*Proof* (i) We choose D = E = -3, k = 1 and  $\ell = 2$  in Theorem 2.1. With this choice, we have h(D) = h(E) = h(-3) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-3) = 6,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + zt + t^2$ . By [1, Theorem 13, p. 180], we have

$$N(f_1 + 2g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + xy + y^2 + 2z^2 + 2zt + 2t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $6\sigma(n) - 12\sigma(n/2) + 18\sigma(n/3) - 36\sigma(n/6).$ 

Appealing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain the asserted formula after dividing through by 6.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i). We choose D = E = -3, k = 1 and  $\ell = 3$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(f_1 + 3g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + xy + y^2 + 3z^2 + 3zt + 3t^2 = n\}$$
  
= 6(2 + 3[n/3] - n)\sigma(n) - 36\sigma(n/3),

see [1, Theorem 14, p. 180].

(iii) The proof proceeds as in (i) and (ii). We choose D = E = -3, k = 1 and  $\ell = 4$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(f_1 + 4g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + xy + y^2 + 4z^2 + 4zt + 4t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $6\sigma(n) - 18\sigma(n/2) - 18\sigma(n/3) + 24\sigma(n/4) + 54\sigma(n/6)$   
 $- 72\sigma(n/12),$ 

which follows from [1, Theorem 15, p. 181] using the elementary identities  $\sigma(n) = 3\sigma(n/2) - 2\sigma(n/4)$  and  $\sigma(n/3) = 3\sigma(n/6) - 2\sigma(n/12)$  when *n* is even.

(iv) The proof is the same as those in (i), (ii) and (iii) except that we use the result

 $N(f_1 + 6g_1; n) = 6A\sigma(n) - 12A\sigma(n/2) + 30\sigma(n/3) - 60\sigma(n/6),$ 

see [1, Theorem 16, p. 181].

(v) The proof is similar to those in (i)–(iv). We choose D = E = -3, k = 2 and  $\ell = 3$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(2f_1 + 3g_1; n) = 6B\sigma(n) - 12B\sigma(n/2) + 30\sigma(n/3) - 60\sigma(n/6),$$

see [1, Theorem 17, p. 181].

(vi) We choose D = E = -4, k = 1 and  $\ell = 2$ . Here h(D) = h(E) = h(-4) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-4) = 4,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + t^2$ . By [3, p. 297], we have

$$N(f_1 + 2g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2 + 2t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $4\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/4) - 32\sigma(n/8).$ 

Appealing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain (vi) after dividing by 4.

(vii) The proof is similar to (vi). We choose D = E = -4, k = 1 and  $\ell = 3$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(f_1 + 3g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 + 3t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $4\sigma(n) - 8\sigma(n/2) - 12\sigma(n/3) + 16\sigma(n/4)$   
+  $24\sigma(n/6) - 48\sigma(n/12),$ 

see [3, p. 297].

(viii) The proof is as in (vi) and (vii). We choose D = E = -4, k = 1 and  $\ell = 4$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(f_1 + 4g_1; n) = \left(2 + 2\left(\frac{-4}{n}\right)\right)\sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/8) - 32\sigma(n/16),$$

see [3, p. 298].

(ix) The proof proceeds as in (vi), (vii) and (viii). We choose D = E = -4, k = 1 and  $\ell = 5$  in Theorem 2.1 and use the result

$$N(f_1 + 5g_1; n) = \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) + \frac{8}{3}c(n),$$

where c(n) ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is defined in (3.1), see [2, Eq. (7.3), p. 49].

(x) We choose D = E = -8 and  $k = \ell = 1$  in Theorem 2.1. Here h(D) = h(E) = h(-8) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-8) = 2,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + 2t^2$ . By [3, Theorem 1.8, p. 297], we have

☑ Springer

$$N(f_1 + g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + 2y^2 + z^2 + 2t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $4\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/4) - 32\sigma(n/8).$ 

Appealing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain (x) after dividing by 4.

(xi) The proof proceeds as in (x) except that we choose  $\ell = 2$  and use the formula [3, Theorem 1.14, p. 300]

$$N(f_1 + 2g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2 + 4t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $2\sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/8) - 32\sigma(n/16).$ 

(xii) We choose D = E = -11, k = 1 and  $\ell = 2$ . Here h(D) = h(E) = h(-11) = 1, w(D) = w(E) = w(-11) = 2,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + xy + 3y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + zt + 3t^2$ . By [5, Theorem 1.20], we have

$$N(f_1 + 2g_1; n) = \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + xy + 3y^2 + 2z^2 + 2zt + 6t^2 = n\}$$
  
=  $2\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/2) + 22\sigma(n/11) - 44\sigma(n/22).$ 

Appealing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain (xii) after dividing by 2.

In the proofs of all twelve parts of Theorem 3.1, we choose the fundamental discriminants D and E such that D = E and h(D) = h(E) = 1. In Sect. 4 we illustrate Theorem 2.1 with an example where we choose D and E such that D = E and h(D) = h(E) > 1 and in Sect. 5 an example with  $D \neq E$ .

#### 4 An example with the class number greater than 1

A representative set of inequivalent, primitive, positive-definite, binary quadratic forms of discriminant -20 is  $\{x^2 + 5y^2, 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2\}$ , so that h(-20) = 2. We define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; n) := \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + 5y^2 + z^2 + 5t^2 = n\},$$
  

$$N(1, 5, 2, 2, 3; n) := \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + 5y^2 + 2z^2 + 2zt + 3t^2 = n\}$$
  
and  

$$N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n) := \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2 + 2z^2 + 2zt + 3t^2 = n\}.$$

We recall from the proof of Theorem 3.1(ix) that

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; n) = \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) + \frac{8}{3}c(n),$$
(4.1)

where c(n) is defined in (3.1). Recently a formula for N(1, 5, 2, 2, 3; n) has been determined by Alaca, Alaca and Williams [5, Theorem 1.15], namely

$$N(1, 5, 2, 2, 3; n) = 2\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/4) - 10\sigma(n/5) + 20\sigma(n/10) - 40\sigma(n/20).$$
(4.2)

As far as the author is aware, the number of representations of *n* by  $2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2 + 2z^2 + 2zt + 3t^2$  has not been determined, and as we require this number, we give a formula for it in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Then* 

$$N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n) = \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) - \frac{4}{3}c(n).$$

*Proof* For  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with |q| < 1, we define

$$\varphi(q) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2}$$

and

$$h(q) := \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} q^{2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2}$$

The function  $\varphi(q)$  is known as Ramanujan's theta function. We have

$$h(q^{2}) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} q^{4x^{2}+4xy+6y^{2}} = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} q^{(2x+y)^{2}+5y^{2}} = \sum_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}\\x\equiv y \pmod{2}}} q^{x^{2}+5y^{2}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^5) + \varphi(-q)\varphi(-q^5) = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} q^{x^2+5y^2} + \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} (-1)^{x-y} q^{x^2+5y^2},$$

as  $(-1)^{x^2+5y^2} = (-1)^{x-y}$ . Thus

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^5) + \varphi(-q)\varphi(-q^5) = 2\sum_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\x\equiv y \pmod{2}}} q^{x^2+5y^2}.$$

Hence

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^5) + \varphi(-q)\varphi(-q^5) = 2h(q^2).$$

Squaring this equation, we obtain (as  $\varphi(q)\varphi(-q) = \varphi^2(-q^2)$ )

$$\varphi^{2}(q)\varphi^{2}(q^{5}) + \varphi^{2}(-q)\varphi^{2}(-q^{5}) + 2\varphi^{2}(-q^{2})\varphi^{2}(-q^{10}) = 4h^{2}(q^{2}).$$

Now

$$\varphi^2(q)\varphi^2(q^5) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1, 5, 1, 5; n)q^n$$

and

$$\varphi^2(-q)\varphi^2(-q^5) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1, 5, 1, 5; n)(-q)^n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \varphi^2(q)\varphi^2(q^5) + \varphi^2(-q)\varphi^2(-q^5) &= 2\sum_{\substack{n=0\\n\equiv 0 \pmod{2}}}^{\infty} N(1,5,1,5;n)q^n \\ &= 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1,5,1,5;2n)q^{2n}. \end{split}$$

Also

$$\varphi^2(-q^2)\varphi^2(-q^{10}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1,5,1,5;n)(-q^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1,5,1,5;n)(-1)^n q^{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 4h^2(q^2) &= \varphi^2(q)\varphi^2(q^5) + \varphi^2(-q)\varphi^2(-q^5) + 2\varphi^2(-q^2)\varphi^2(-q^{10}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2N(1,5,1,5;2n) + 2(-1)^n N(1,5,1,5;n))q^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$h^{2}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n)q^{n}.$$

Thus

$$h^2(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n)q^{2n}.$$

D Springer

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) + 2(-1)^n N(1, 5, 1, 5; n))q^{2n}$$
  
=  $4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n)q^{2n}$ .

Equating coefficients of  $q^{2n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we obtain

$$N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n) = \frac{1}{2}N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) + \frac{(-1)^n}{2}N(1, 5, 1, 5; n).$$

Replacing n by 2n in (4.1), we deduce

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) = \frac{4}{3}\sigma(2n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/2) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(2n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/10)$$

as c(2n) = 0. Now

$$\sigma(2n) = 3\sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2), \ \sigma(2n/5) = 3\sigma(n/5) - 2\sigma(n/10),$$

so that

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) = 4\sigma(n) - 8\sigma(n/2) + 20\sigma(n/5) - 40\sigma(n/10).$$

We now treat two cases according as n is odd or even.

If *n* is odd, we have

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) = 4\sigma(n) + 20\sigma(n/5).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} N(2,2,3,2,2,3;n) &= \frac{1}{2}N(1,5,1,5;2n) - \frac{1}{2}N(1,5,1,5;n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(4\sigma(n) + 20\sigma(n/5)) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) + \frac{8}{3}c(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{4}{3}c(n) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) - \frac{4}{3}c(n), \end{split}$$

as asserted.

If *n* is even, as

$$\sigma(n/2) = \frac{1}{3}\sigma(n) + \frac{2}{3}\sigma(n/4), \quad \sigma(n/10) = \frac{1}{3}\sigma(n/5) + \frac{2}{3}\sigma(n/20), \quad c(n) = 0,$$

□.

we have by (4.1)

$$N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) = \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20)$$
  
= N(1, 5, 1, 5; n).

Thus

$$N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n) = \frac{1}{2}N(1, 5, 1, 5; 2n) + \frac{1}{2}N(1, 5, 1, 5; n)$$
  
=  $N(1, 5, 1, 5; n)$   
=  $\frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) - \frac{4}{3}c(n)$ ,

as asserted.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Then* 

$$3\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \delta_{20}(m)\delta_{20}(n-m) + 6\delta_{20}(n) = 5\sigma(n) - 6\sigma(n/2) + 4\sigma(n/4) - 5\sigma(n/5) + 30\sigma(n/10) - 100\sigma(n/20) + c(n).$$

*Proof* We choose D = E = -20 and  $k = \ell = 1$  in Theorem 2.1. Here h(D) = h(E) = h(-20) = 2, w(D) = w(E) = w(-20) = 2,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + 5y^2$ ,  $f_2(x, y) = 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2$ ,  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + 5t^2$  and  $g_2(z, t) = 2z^2 + 2xy + 3y^2$ . Also, appealing to (4.1), (4.2) and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{split} N(f_1 + g_1; n) &= N(1, 5, 1, 5; n) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) + \frac{8}{3}c(n), \\ N(f_1 + g_2; n) &= N(f_2 + g_1; n) = N(1, 5, 2, 2, 3; n) \\ &= 2\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/2) + 8\sigma(n/4) - 10\sigma(n/5) \\ &+ 20\sigma(n/10) - 40\sigma(n/20), \\ N(f_2 + g_2; n) &= N(2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3; n) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\sigma(n) - \frac{16}{3}\sigma(n/4) + \frac{20}{3}\sigma(n/5) - \frac{80}{3}\sigma(n/20) - \frac{4}{3}c(n). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} N(f_i + g_j; n) = \frac{20}{3} \sigma(n) - 8\sigma(n/2) + \frac{16}{3} \sigma(n/4) - \frac{20}{3} \sigma(n/5) + 40\sigma(n/10) - \frac{400}{3} \sigma(n/20) + \frac{4}{3}c(n).$$

Appealing to Theorem 2.1, we obtain the asserted result on multiplying the resulting formula by 3/4.

#### 5 An example with unequal discriminants

We treat the special case of Theorem 2.1 when D = -3 and E = -4. In this case, the evaluation of the right-hand side of Theorem 2.1 requires the function

$$\sigma(r, s, 12; n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d \mid n \\ d \equiv r \pmod{12} \\ n/d \equiv s \pmod{12}}} d$$
(5.1)

rather than  $\sigma(n)$ . We note that  $\sigma(r, s, 12; n) = 0$  if  $n \neq rs \pmod{12}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** *Let*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. For*  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, we define* 

$$c(r,s) := \left(3\left(\frac{-3}{s}\right) - \left(\frac{-3}{r}\right)\right) \left(4\left(\frac{-4}{s}\right) + \left(\frac{-4}{r}\right)\right).$$
(5.2)

Then

$$24\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\delta_3(m)\delta_4(n-m) + 6\delta_3(n) + 4\delta_4(n) = \sum_{\substack{r,s=0\\rs\equiv n \pmod{12}}}^{11} c(r,s)\sigma(r,s,12;n).$$

*Proof* In [4, p. 225], the arithmetic functions A(n), B(n), C(n) and D(n) are defined for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$A(n) := \sum_{d|n} d\left(\frac{12}{n/d}\right),$$
  

$$B(n) := \sum_{d|n} d\left(\frac{-3}{d}\right) \left(\frac{-4}{n/d}\right),$$
  

$$C(n) := \sum_{d|n} d\left(\frac{-3}{n/d}\right) \left(\frac{-4}{d}\right),$$
  

$$D(n) := \sum_{d|n} d\left(\frac{12}{d}\right),$$

and their basic properties given. Appealing to (5.2), we see that

$$A(n) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} \left(\frac{12}{s}\right) \sigma(r, s, 12; n),$$

$$B(n) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} \left(\frac{-3}{r}\right) \left(\frac{-4}{s}\right) \sigma(r, s, 12; n),$$
  

$$C(n) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} \left(\frac{-4}{r}\right) \left(\frac{-3}{s}\right) \sigma(r, s, 12; n),$$
  

$$D(n) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} \left(\frac{12}{r}\right) \sigma(r, s, 12; n).$$

By [4, p. 233], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid x^2 + xy + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 = n\} \\ &= 12A(n) - 4B(n) + 3C(n) - D(n) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} \left( 3\left(\frac{-3}{s}\right) - \left(\frac{-3}{r}\right) \right) \left( 4\left(\frac{-4}{s}\right) + \left(\frac{-4}{r}\right) \right) \sigma(r, s, 12; n), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\operatorname{card}\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid x^{2} + xy + y^{2} + z^{2} + t^{2} = n\}$$
$$= \sum_{r,s=0}^{11} c(r, s)\sigma(r, s, 12; n),$$
(5.3)

by (5.1). Choosing D = -3, E = -4 and  $k = \ell = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, as h(D) = h(-3) = 1, h(E) = h(-4) = 1, w(D) = w(-3) = 6, w(E) = w(-4) = 4,  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$  and  $g_1(z, t) = z^2 + t^2$ , we obtain

$$24\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \delta_3(m)\delta_4(n-m) + 6\delta_3(n) + 4\delta_4(n) = N(f_1 + g_1; n)$$
  
= card{(x, y, z, t)  $\in \mathbb{Z}^4 | x^2 + xy + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 = n$ }  
=  $\sum_{r,s=0}^{11} c(r, s)\sigma(r, s, 12; n)$ 

by (5.2). This is the asserted identity.

As we have already mentioned, elementary arithmetic proofs of the formulas in (2.2) and (2.3) are known. As far as the author is aware, no such proof is known for the formula (5.3). It would be interesting to give such a proof.

The referee has pointed out that the identities derived in this paper might be of interest from the point of view of modular forms by considering the connection between sums of classes of theta series associated to positive-definite quadratic forms and Eisenstein series. The author thanks the referee for his/her helpful review of his paper.

#### Some arithmetic convolution identities

# References

- 1. Alaca, A., Alaca, Ş., Williams, K.S.: On the two-dimensional theta functions of the Borweins. Acta Arith. **124**(2), 177–195 (2006)
- 2. Alaca, A., Alaca, Ş., Williams, K.S.: On the quaternary forms  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 5t^2$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 + 5z^2 + 5t^2$ and  $x^2 + 5y^2 + 5z^2 + 5t^2$ , JP. J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. **9**(1), 37–53 (2007)
- Alaca, A., Alaca, Ş., Lemire, M.F., Williams, K.S.: Nineteen quaternary quadratic forms. Acta Arith. 130(3), 277–310 (2007)
- Alaca, A., Alaca, Ş., Lemire, M.F., Williams, K.S.: Theta function identities and representations by certain quaternary quadratic forms. Int. J. Number Theory 4(2), 219–239 (2008)
- 5. Alaca, A., Alaca, Ş., Williams, K. S.: Representation numbers of certain quaternary quadratic forms in a genus consisting of a single class. Int. J. Number Theory (accepted for publication)
- 6. Chapman, R.: Representations of integers by the form  $x^2 + xy + y^2 + z^2 + zt + t^2$ . Int. J. Number Theory **4**(5), 709–714 (2008)
- 7. Farkas, H.M.: On an arithmetical function. Ramanujan J. 8(3), 309-315 (2004)
- Farkas, H.M.: On an arithmetical function II, Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems II. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 382, pp. 121–130. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2005)
- 9. Guerzhoy, P., Raji, W.: A modular look at Farkas' identities. Ramanujan J. 19(1), 19–27 (2009)
- Huard, J.G., Ou, Z.M., Spearman, B.K., Williams, K.S.: Elementary evaluation of certain convolution sums involving divisor functions. In: Bennett, M.A. (ed.) Number Theory for the Millennium, II, pp. 229–274. A K Peters, Urbana (2000)
- 11. Kaplan, P., Williams, K.S.: On a formula of Dirichlet. Far East J. Math. Sci. 5(1), 153-157 (1997)
- 12. Liouville, J.: Sur la forme  $x^2 + xy + y^2 + z^2 + zt + t^2$ . J. Math. Pures Appl. 8, 141–144 (1863)
- 13. Martin, Y.: Multiplicative η-quotients. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **348**(12), 4825–4856 (1996)
- Raji, W.: A simple modular proof of Farkas' arithmetic identity modulo 4. Missouri J. Math. Sci. 20(3), 160–164 (2008)
- Spearman, B.K., Williams, K.S.: The simplest arithmetic proof of Jacobi's four squares theorem. Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 2(3), 433–439 (2000)
- 16. Williams, K.S.: On a double series of Chan and Ong. Georgian Math. J. 13(4), 793-805 (2006)