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#### Abstract

The range of validity of Dirichlet's formula for the number of primary representations of the positive integer $n$ by a representative set of inequivalent, primitive, integral, binary quadratic forms of discriminant $d$ is extended from $\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=1$ to $\operatorname{gcd}(n$, $f=1$, where $f$ is the conductor of the discriminant $d$.


Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $d$ be a nonsquare integer with $d \equiv 0$ or 1 $(\bmod 4)$. Let $\left(f_{i}(x, y)=a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} y^{2} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, h\right\}$ be a representative set of inequivalent, primitive, integral, binary quadratic forms of discriminant $d$. Only positive-definite forms are taken if $d<0$. Let $N(n, d)$ denote the number of primary representations of $n$ by the forms $f_{i}(x, y)(i=1,2, \ldots, h)$. For the necessary background on binary quadratic forms, the reader is referred to [2, § 11.4, § 12.1-12.4]. Dirichlet [ $1, \mathrm{p} .229$ ] showed in 1840 that if $\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(n, d)=w(d) \sum_{e \mid n}\left(\frac{d}{e}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(d)=1,2,4,6$ according as $d>0, d<-4, d=-4, d=-3$ respectively, $e$ runs through the positive divisors on $n$, and $\left(\frac{d}{e}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol. It is the purpose of this note to show that a simple argument extends the range of validity of 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : 11 E16.
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(1) from $\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=1$ to $\operatorname{gcd}(n, f)=1$, where $f$ is the conductor of $d$, that is, $f$ is the largest positive integer such that $f^{2} \mid d$ and $d / f^{2} \equiv 0$ or $1(\bmod 4)$. This fact seems to have been totally overlooked until recently. Our extension of Dirichlet's formula follows from (1) and the simple lemmas below.

Lemma 1. Let $p$ be a prime with $p l d$ and $p+f$. Then each $f_{i}(\lambda, y)$ $(i=1,2, \ldots, h)$ can be taken in the form

$$
f_{i}(x, y)=a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} p y^{2}
$$

where $p+a_{i} c_{i}$ and $p \mid b_{i}$.
Proof. Replacing $f_{i}(x, y)$ by an equivalent form, we may suppose that $p+a_{i}$. If $p \neq 2$ then as $p \mid d$ and $p \nmid f$, we have $p \| d$. We may choose an integer $t$ such that $b_{i}^{\prime}=2 a_{i} t+b_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then $f_{i}(x, y)$ is equivalent to the form $a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i}^{\prime} x y$ $+c_{i}^{\prime} y^{2}$, where $c_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i} t^{2}+b_{i} t+c_{i}$, which is of the required type as $p \| c_{i}^{\prime}$ since $c_{i}^{\prime}=\left(b_{i}^{\prime 2}-d\right) /\left(4 a_{i}\right), p \| d$ and $p \mid b_{i}^{\prime}$.

If $p=2$ then, as $2 \mid d$ and $2 \nmid f$, we see that $2 \mid b_{i}$ and $d \equiv 8$ or $12(\bmod 16)$. If $c_{i} \equiv$ $2(\bmod 4)$ then $f_{i}(x, y)$ is already of the required type. If $c_{i} \neq 2(\bmod 4)$, from $d=b_{i}^{2}-4 a_{i} c_{i}$, we deduce that $c_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Replacing $f_{i}$ by the equivalent form $a_{i} x^{2}+\left(2 a_{i}+b_{i}\right) x y+\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}\right) y^{2}$, we have $f_{i}$ in the required form.

Lemma 2. With the notation of Lemma 1,

$$
\left\{a_{i} p x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} y^{2} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, h\right\}
$$

is a representative system of inequivalent, primitive, integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant d.

Proof. We have only to check that $a_{i} p x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} y^{2}$ and $a_{j} p x^{2}+b_{j} x y$ $+c_{j} y^{2}$ are inequivalent for $i \neq j$. Suppose not. Then there exist integers $r, s, t, u$ with $r u-s t=1$ such that

$$
a_{i} p x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} y^{2}=a_{j} p(r x+s y)^{2}+b_{j}(r x+s y)(t x+u y)+c_{j}(t x+u y)^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} p & =a_{j} p r^{2}+b_{j} r t+c_{j} t^{2} \\
b_{i} & =2 a_{j} p r s+b_{j}(r u+s t)+2 c_{j} t u \\
c_{i} & =a_{j} p s^{2}+b_{j} s u+c_{j} u^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $p \nmid a_{i} c_{i}, p \mid b_{i}, p \nmid a_{j} c_{j}$, and $p \mid b_{j}$, we see that $p \nmid u$ and $p \mid t$. Set $t=p t^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime}=p s$. Then $r u-s^{\prime} t^{\prime}=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =a_{j} r^{2}+b_{j} r t^{\prime}+c_{j} p t^{\prime 2} \\
b_{i} & =2 a_{j} r s^{\prime}+b_{j}\left(r u+s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)+2 c j p t^{\prime} u \\
p c_{i} & =a_{j} s^{2}+b_{j} s^{\prime} u+p c_{j} u^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} p y^{2}=a_{j}\left(r x+s^{\prime} y\right)^{2}+b_{j}\left(r x+s^{\prime} y\right)\left(t^{\prime} x+u y\right)+c_{j} p\left(t^{\prime} x+u y\right)^{2}
$$

contradicting that $a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} p y^{2}$ and $a_{i} x^{2}+b_{j} x y+c_{j} p y^{2}$ are inequivalent for $i \neq j$.

From now on we suppose that $n$ is prime to $f$ and we set

$$
n=n_{1} n_{2}, \quad n_{1}=\prod_{p \mid d} p_{p}^{v(n)}, \quad n_{2}=\prod_{p+d} p_{p}^{v(n)}
$$

so that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{2}, d\right)=1$. We have

## Lemma 3.

$$
N(n, d)=N\left(n_{2}, d\right)
$$

Proof. Let $p$ be a prime with $p \mid n_{1}$. As $p \mid d$ and $p \nmid f$ we can choose each representative form $f_{i}$ as in Lemma 1 . For $i=1, \ldots h$, let

$$
S_{i}=\text { set of primary solutions }(x, y) \text { of } a_{i} x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} p y^{2}=n
$$

and

$$
T_{i}=\text { set of primary solutions }(x, y) \text { of } a_{i} p x^{2}+b_{i} x y+c_{i} y^{2}=n / p
$$

It is easy to check that $(x, y) \rightarrow(p x, y)$ defines a bijection from $T_{i}$ to $S_{i}$. Hence, by Lemma 2, we have

$$
N(n, d)=\sum_{i=1}^{h} \operatorname{card}\left(S_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{card}\left(T_{i}\right)=N(n / p, d)
$$

Applying this result to each prime $p$ dividing $n_{1}$, we obtain the result of Lemma 3.
We can now complete the proof that Dirichlet's formula (1) holds for gcd ( $n, f$ ) $=1$. If $e$ is a divisor of $n$ such that $e+n_{2}$ then gcd $\left(e, n_{1}\right)>1$ so that $\left(\frac{d}{e}\right)=0$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(n, d) & =N\left(n_{2}, d\right) & & \text { (by Lemma 3) } \\
& =w(d) \sum_{e \mid n_{2}}\left(\frac{d}{e}\right) & & \left(\text { by }(1) \text { as } \operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{2}, d\right)=1\right) \\
& =w(d) \sum_{e \mid n}\left(\frac{d}{e}\right) & & \text { (by the preceding remark). }
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that Dirichlet's formula (1) may not hold if gcd ( $n, f$ ) $>1$. To see this take $d=-16$ and $n=2$. Here $f=2, w(-16)=2$, and $h=1$. We can take the single representative primitive, positive-definite, integral, binary quadratic form of discriminant -16 as $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$. Clearly this form does not represent 2 so that $N(2,-16)=0$. However

$$
w(-16) \sum_{\mu \mid 2}\left(\frac{-16}{\mu}\right)=2\left(\left(\frac{-16}{1}\right)+\left(\frac{-16}{2}\right)\right)=2(1+0)=2 .
$$

When $d<0$ a formula for $N(n, d)$ valid for all positive integers $n$ has been given by Huard, Kaplan and Williams [3].
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