## NOTE ON BURDE'S RATIONAL BIQUADRATIC RECIPROCITY LAW

## **KENNETH S. WILLIAMS**

A short proof is given of a biquadratic reciprocity law proved by Burde in 1969.

Let p and q be primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  such that  $(p \mid q) = (q \mid p) = 1$ . Then there are integers a, b, c, d with

 $p = a^{2} + b^{2}, \qquad a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \qquad b \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$  $q = c^{2} + d^{2}, \qquad c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \qquad d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

Set

$$(p|q)_{4} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } p \text{ a biquadratic residue } (\text{mod } q), \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Burde [2] proved using the law of biquadratic reciprocity that

(1) 
$$(p \mid q)_4(q \mid p)_4 = (-1)^{(q-1)/4}(ad - bc \mid q).$$

Lehmer [4, 5] has given two proofs of (1) using results from cyclotomy. In this note we put together two classical results ((2) and (4) below) to give a short proof of (1).

It is easy to show that  $(\pm ad \pm bc \mid q) = (ad - bc \mid q)$  for any choice of signs so that (1) is independent of the particular choices made of a, b, c, d. We choose a, b to satisfy  $a-b+1\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and set  $\pi = a+bi$  so that  $\pi \overline{\pi} = p$ . For any integer  $x \neq 0 \pmod{p}$  we define a biquadratic character by

 $(x \mid \pi)_4 = i^e$  if  $x^{(p-1)/4} \equiv i^e \pmod{\pi}, \quad 0 \le e \le 3.$ 

The Gauss sum corresponding to this character is

$$G = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} (x \mid \pi)_4 \exp(2\pi \ ix/p).$$

It is well-known that (see for example [1])

(2) 
$$G^2 = (-1)^{(p-1)/4} p^{1/2} \pi.$$

Raising G to the qth power we obtain by a familiar argument

$$G^{q} \equiv (q \mid \pi)_{4}^{-1} G \equiv (q \mid p)_{4} G \pmod{q}$$

that is

(3) 
$$G^{q-1} \equiv (q \mid p)_4 \pmod{q}.$$

Taking the (q-1)/2th power of (2) and using (3) we obtain

$$(q \mid p)_4 \equiv p^{(q-1)/4} \pi^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{q}.$$

or

$$(p \mid q)_4 (q \mid p)_4 \equiv (a + ib)^{(q-1)/2} (\text{mod } q).$$

It follows from an old result of Dörrie [3] that

(4) 
$$(a+ib)^{(q-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/4} (ad-bc \mid q) \pmod{q}$$

which completes the proof of (1). For completeness we give a proof of (4). We have

 $d(a+bi) \equiv ad-bc \pmod{c+di}$ 

so that

(5) 
$$(a+bi)^{(q-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/4} (ad-bc \mid q) \pmod{c+di}$$

as it is well known that  $(d | q) \equiv d^{(q-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/4} \pmod{q}$ . Also

$$d(a+bi) \equiv ad+bc \pmod{c-di}$$

so that

(6) 
$$(a+bi)^{(q-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/4} (ad+bc \mid q)$$
  
 $\equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/4} (ad-bc \mid q) (\mod c-di).$ 

(4) now follows from 
$$(5)$$
 and  $(6)$ 

## REFERENCES

1. P. Bachmann, Die Lehre von der Kreisteilung, Leipzig (1872), equation (9), p. 169.

2. K. Burde, Ein rationales biquadratisches Reziprozitätsgesetz, Jour. reine angew. Math., 235 (1969), 175-184.

3. H. Dörrie, Das quadratische Reciprocitätsgesetz in quadratischen Zahlkörper mit der Classenzahl 1, Gött. Diss., 1898.

4. E. Lehmer, Criteria for cubic and quartic residuacity, Mathematika 5 (1958), 20-29.

5. E. Lehmer, On the quadratic character of some quadratic surds, Jour. reine angew. Math., 250 (1971), 42-48.

CARLETON UNIVERSITY OTTAWA, CANADA

146