A RATIONAL OCTIC RECIPROCITY LAW

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

A rational octic reciprocity theorem analogous to the rational biquadratic reciprocity theorem of Burde is proved.

Let p and q be distinct primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ such that (p/q) = (q/p) = 1. For such primes there are integers a, b, A, B with

(1)
$$\begin{cases} p = a^2 + b^2, \ a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \ b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ q = A^2 + B^2, \ A \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \ B \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover it is well-known than (A/q) = 1, $(B/q) = (-1)^{(q-1)/4}$. If k is a quadratic residue (mod q) we set

$$\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)_{4} = \begin{cases} +1, \text{ if } k \text{ is a biquadratic residue (mod } q), \\ -1, \text{ otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

In 1969 Burde [2] proved the following

THEOREM (Burde).

$$\Bigl(rac{p}{q}\Bigr)_{\!\!\!\!4}\Bigl(rac{q}{p}\Bigr)_{\!\!\!4}=(-1)^{\scriptscriptstyle(q-1)/4}\Bigl(rac{aB-bA}{q}\Bigr)\,.$$

Recently Brown [1] has posed the problem of finding an octic reciprocity law analogous to Burde's biquadratic law for distinct primes p and q with $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $(p/q)_4 = (q/p)_4 = 1$. It is the purpose of this paper to give such a law. From this point on we assume that p and q satisfy these conditions and set for any biquadratic residue $k \pmod{q}$

 $\left(rac{k}{q}
ight)_{s}=igg\{+1, ext{ if } k ext{ is an octic residue } (ext{mod } q) ext{ ,} \ -1, ext{ otherwise }.$

It is a familar result that there are integers c, d, C, D with

$$(\ 2\) \qquad \qquad \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p = c^2 + 2d^2, \ c \equiv 1({
m mod}\ 2), \ d \equiv 0({
m mod}\ 2) \ , \ q = C^2 + 2D^2, \ C \equiv 1({
m mod}\ 2), \ D \equiv 0({
m mod}\ 2) \ . \end{array}
ight.$$

Moreover we have (D/q) = 1. Also from Burde's theorem we have

(3)
$$\left(\frac{aB-bA}{q}\right)=1$$
,

and from the law of biquadratic reciprocity after a little calculation we find that $(B/q)_{4} = +1$. We prove

THEOREM. Let p and q be distinct primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ such that

We note that it is easy to show that

$$\left(\frac{\pm aB \pm bA}{q}\right)_{\star} = \left(\frac{aB - bA}{q}\right)_{\star} \left(\frac{\pm cD \pm dC}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{cD - dC}{q}\right),$$

so that the expression on the right-hand side of the theorem is independent of the particular choices of a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D made in (1) and (2). In the course of the proof it is convenient to make a particular choice of a, b, c, d (see (9) and (10)).

We begin by proving three lemmas.

LEMMA 1.
$$(c + d\sqrt{-2})^{(q-1)/2} \equiv ((cD - dC)/q)$$
 (mod q).

Proof. As (p/q) = 1 we can define an integer u by $p \equiv u^2 \pmod{q}$. Next we define integers l and m by

$$l\equiv rac{cD-dC+Du}{2},\ m\equiv rac{C}{D}\cdot rac{cD-dC-Du}{4} \pmod{q}$$
 , mod q) ,

so that

$$l^2 - 2m^2 \equiv cD(cD - dC) \qquad (\bmod q)$$

and

$$2lm \equiv dD(cD - dC) \qquad (\bmod q),$$

giving

$$D(cD-dC)(c+d\sqrt{-2})\equiv (l+m\sqrt{-2})^2 \pmod{q}$$
,

and so

$$D^{(q-1)/2}(cD-dC)^{(q-1)/2}(c+d\sqrt{-2})^{(q-1)/2}\equiv (l+m\sqrt{-2})^{q-1} \pmod{q}$$
 .

Now working modulo q we have

$$egin{aligned} (l+m\sqrt{-2})^{q-1} &\equiv rac{(l+m\sqrt{-2})^q}{l+m\sqrt{-2}} &\equiv rac{l^q+m^q(\sqrt{-2})^q}{l+m\sqrt{-2}} \ &\equiv rac{l+mi^q2^{q/2}}{l+m\sqrt{-2}} &\equiv rac{l+mi\sqrt{2}}{l+m\sqrt{-2}} \ &\equiv 1 \ , \end{aligned}$$

also

$$D^{\scriptscriptstyle (q-1)/2}\equiv \left(rac{D}{q}
ight)=1$$
 ,

and

$$(cD-dC)^{(q-1)/2}\equiv \left(rac{cD-dC}{q}
ight)$$
 ,

from which the required result follows immediately.

LEMMA 2.
$$(a + b\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/4} \equiv ((aB - bA)/q)_4 \pmod{q}$$
.

Proof. As (p/q) = 1 we define ar integer u by $p \equiv u^2 \pmod{q}$ as in Lemma 1. Next we define integers r and s by

$$r \equiv \frac{aB - bA + Bu}{2}, s \equiv \frac{A}{B} \cdot \frac{aB - bA - Bu}{2} \pmod{p}$$
 (mod q)

so that

$$r^2 - s^2 \equiv aB(aB - bA) \tag{mod } q)$$

and

$$2rs \equiv bB(aB - bA) \tag{mod } q)$$

giving

$$B(aB-bA)(a+b\sqrt{-1})\equiv (r+s\sqrt{-1})^2 \pmod{q}$$
 ,

and so

$$B^{(q-1)/4}(aB-bA)^{(q-1)/4}(a+b\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/4} \equiv (r+s\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{q}$$
 .

Thus as $(B/q)_4 = ((aB - bA)/q) = 1$ we obtain

$$(a + b\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/4} \equiv \left(\frac{aB - bA}{q}\right)_4 (r + s\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{q} .$$

Next we note that $r^2 + s^2 \equiv uB(aB - bA) \pmod{q}$ so that

$$\left(rac{r^2+s^2}{q}
ight)=\left(rac{p}{q}
ight)_4\left(rac{B}{q}
ight)\left(rac{aB-bA}{q}
ight)=1\;.$$

Hence we may define an integer w by $w^2 \equiv r^2 + s^2 \pmod{q}$. Then we define integers e and f by

$$e \equiv rac{rB - sA + Bw}{2}, \ f \equiv rac{A}{B} \cdot rac{rB - sA - Bw}{2} \pmod{q}$$

so that

565

 $e^2 - f^2 \equiv rB(rB - sA) \pmod{q}$

and

$$2ef \equiv sB(rB - sA) \tag{mod } q)$$

giving

$$B(rB - sA)(r + s\sqrt{-1}) \equiv (e + f\sqrt{-1})^2 \pmod{q},$$

and so

$$B^{(q-1)/2}(rB-sA)^{(q-1)/2}(r+s\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/2}\equiv (e+f\sqrt{-1})^{q-1} \pmod{q}$$
 .

Now working modulo q we have

$$(e + f\sqrt{-1})^{q-1} \equiv \frac{(e + f\sqrt{-1})^q}{(e + f\sqrt{-1})} \equiv \frac{e^q + f^q(\sqrt{-1})^q}{e + f\sqrt{-1}}$$

$$\equiv \frac{e + f\sqrt{-1}}{e + f\sqrt{-1}} \equiv 1 ,$$

and

$$B^{(q-1)/2}\equiv \left(rac{B}{q}
ight)=1$$
, $(rB-sA)^{(q-1)/2}\equiv \left(rac{rB-sA}{q}
ight)$,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$(r+s\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/2}\equiv\left(rac{rB-sA}{q}
ight)$$
 ,

giving

$$(a + b\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/4} \equiv \left(\frac{aB - bA}{q}\right)_4 \left(\frac{rB - sA}{q}\right) \pmod{q}$$
 (mod q).

The required result now follows as modulo q we have

$$egin{aligned} rB-sA&\equivrac{B(aB-bA+Bu)}{2}-rac{A^2}{B}rac{(aB-bA-Bu)}{2}\ &\equivrac{B}{2}\{(aB-bA+Bu)+(aB-bA-Bu)\}\ &\equiv B(aB-bA)\ , \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\left(\frac{rB-sA}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{B}{q}\right)\left(\frac{aB-bA}{q}\right) = +1$$
.

Before proving the final lemma we state some results we shall need. Let $w = \exp(2\pi i/8) = (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{-2})/2$ and let R be the ring

566

of integers of the cyclotomic field $Q(w) = Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1})$. *R* is a unique factorization domain. Let π be any prime factor of p in *R*, fixed once and for all. For integers $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we define an octic character (mod p) by

$$\left(rac{x}{\pi}
ight)_{\!\!8} = w^{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda} ext{ if } x^{\scriptscriptstyle (p-1)/8} \equiv w^{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda} (ext{mod } \pi), \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq 7 \;.$$

If $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we set $(x/\pi)_s = 0$. In terms of this character we define the corresponding Jacobi and Gauss sums for arbitrary integers k and l as follows:

$$egin{aligned} J(k,\,l) &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(rac{x}{\pi}
ight)_8^k igg(rac{1-x}{\pi}igg)_8^l\,, \ G(k) &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(rac{x}{\pi}
ight)_8^k \exp{(2\pi i x/p)} \end{aligned}$$

These sums have the following well-known properties (see for example [4], Chapter 8):

$$(4) J(k, l)\overline{J(k, l)} = p , if k, l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8} ,$$

(5)
$$J(k, l) = \frac{G(k)G(l)}{G(k+l)}$$
, if $k, l, k+l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$,

(6)
$$G(k)G(-k) = (-1)^{k(p-1)/8}p$$
, if $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

We shall also need the evaluation of the familar sum

(7)
$$G(4) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)_{8}^{4} \exp\left(2\pi i x/p\right) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \exp\left(2\pi i x/p\right) = p^{1/2}$$

and the result

(8)
$$J(2, 2) = \pm J(1, 2)$$
.

A more precise form of (8) follows from a theorem of Jacobi (see for Example [3], page 411, equation (99)). Finally we let $\sigma_k(k = 1, 3, 5, 7)$ be the automorphism of Q(w) defined by $\sigma_k(w) = w^k$.

Now from (5) and (6) we have

$$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}(J(1,\,4))=J(3,\,12)=J(3,\,4)=rac{G(3)G(4)}{G(7)}=rac{G(1)G(4)}{G(5)}=J(1,\,4)$$
 ,

so that $J(1, 4) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$. Moreover from (4) we have $J(1, 4)\overline{J(1, 4)} = p$ so we may choose the signs of c and d in (2) so that

(9)
$$J(1, 4) = c + d\sqrt{-2}$$
.

Also from (5) and (6) we have

$$\sigma_{_5}(J(1,\,2))=J(5,\,10)=J(5,\,2)=rac{G(5)G(2)}{G(7)}=rac{G(1)G(2)}{G(3)}=J(1,\,2)$$
 ,

so that $J(1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. Moreover from (4) we have $J(1, 2)\overline{J(1, 2)} = p$ so we may choose the signs of a and b in (1) so that

(10)
$$J(1, 2) = a + b\sqrt{-1}$$
,

since it is easy to prove (and well-known) that $J(1, 2) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 3.
$$G(1)^8 = p(a + b\sqrt{-1})^2(c + d\sqrt{-2})^4$$
.

Proof. From (5), (9), (10) have

$$c + d\sqrt{-2} = J(1, 4) = rac{G(1)G(4)}{G(5)}$$

and

$$a + b\sqrt{-1} = J(1, 2) = rac{G(1)G(2)}{G(3)}$$
 .

Multiplying these together we obtain

$$(a+b\sqrt{-1})(c+d\sqrt{-2})=rac{G(1)^2G(2)G(4)}{G(3)G(5)}=rac{G(1)^2G(2)}{(-1)^{(p-1)/8}p^{1/2}}$$

by (6) and (7). Hence taking the fourth power of both sides we get

(11)
$$G(1)^{8}G(2)^{4} = p^{2} (a + b\sqrt{-1})^{4}(c + d\sqrt{-2})^{4}.$$

Now from (5) and (7) we have

$$J(2,\ 2)=rac{G(2)^2}{G(4)}=rac{G(2)^2}{p^{1/2}}$$
 ,

so that from (8) and (10) we obtain

(12)
$$G(2)^4 = p\{J(2, 2)\}^2 = p\{J(1, 2)\}^2 = p(a + b\sqrt{-1})^2$$
,

and the required result now follows from (11) and (12).

Proof of theorem. Raising G(1) to the qth power we obtain modulo q,

$$G(1)^q \equiv \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(rac{x}{\pi}
ight)_8^q \exp{(2\pi i x q/p)} = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(rac{x}{\pi}
ight)_8 \exp{(2\pi i x q/p)}$$
 ,

since $q \equiv (\text{mod } q)$, giving

$$G(1)^q \equiv \left(rac{q}{\pi}
ight)_8^{-1}\sum\limits_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(rac{xq}{\pi}
ight)_8 \exp{(2\pi i (xq)/p)} = \left(rac{q}{\pi}
ight)_8^{-1} G(1) \; ,$$

since (q, p) = 1 implies that

$$\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \Big(rac{xq}{\pi}\Big)_{\!\!8} \exp\left(2\pi i x q/p
ight) = \sum_{y=0}^{p-1} \Big(rac{y}{\pi}\Big)_{\!\!8} \exp\left(2\pi i y/p
ight) = G(1) \; .$$

Hence

$$G(1)^q \equiv \Big(rac{q}{\pi}\Big)^{^{-1}}_{\!s} G(1) = \Big(rac{q}{p}\Big)_{\!s} G(1)$$
 ,

that is

$$G(1)^{q-1}\equiv \Big(rac{q}{p}\Big)_{\!\!8}(\mathrm{mod}\;q)\;.$$

Hence by Lemmas 1, 2, 3 we have modulo q

$$egin{aligned} &\left(rac{q}{p}
ight)_{\!\!8} \equiv (G(1)^8)^{(q-1)/8} \ &\equiv p^{(q-1)/8}(a+b\sqrt{-1})^{(q-1)/4}(c+d\sqrt{-2})^{(q-1)/2} \ &\equiv \left(rac{p}{q}
ight)_{\!\!8}\!\!\left(rac{aB-bA}{q}
ight)_{\!\!4}\!\!\left(rac{cD-dC}{q}
ight), \end{aligned}$$

from which the theorem follows.

EXAMPLE. We take $p = 17 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $q = 409 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ so that we may choose

$$a = 1, \, b = 4, \, c = 3, \, d = 2$$
 ,
 $A = 3, \, B = 20, \, C = 11, \, D = 12$.

Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ we clearly have

As ((aB - bA)/q) = (8/409) = +1 by Burde's theorem we have $(p/q)_4 = 1$. Finally

so by the theorem of this paper we have $(p/q)_s = 1$, which is easily verified directly.

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

References

1. Ezra Brown, Quadratic forms and biquadratic reciprocity, J. für Math., 253 (1972), 214-220.

2. Klaus Burde, Ein rationales biquadratisches Reziprozitätsgesetz, J. für Math., 235 (1969), 175-184.

3. L. E. Dickson, Cyclotomy, higher congruences, and Waring's problem, Amer. J. Math., 57 (1935), 391-424.

4. Kenneth Ireland and Michael I. Rosen, *Elements of Number Theory*, Bogden and Quigley, Inc. Publishers, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, New York (1972).

Received August 4, 1975. Research supported under National Research Council of Canada grant no. A-7233.

CARLETON UNIVERSITY-OTTAWA CANADA