# ON EULER'S CRITERION FOR QUINTIC NONRESIDUES 


#### Abstract

Kenneth S. Williams

Let $p$ be a prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. If 2 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$ then $2^{p-1 / 5} \equiv \alpha(\bmod p)$ for some fifth root of unity $\alpha_{5}(\not \equiv 1)(\bmod p)$. Emma Lehmer has given an explicit expression for $\alpha_{5}$ in terms of a particular solution of a certain quadratic partition of $p$. In this paper we show how in principle the corresponding result can be obtained for any quintic nonresidue $D(\bmod p)$. Full details are given for $D=2,3,5$.


1. Introduction. Let $k$ be an integer $\geqq 2$ and let $p$ be a prime $\equiv 1(\bmod k)$. Euler's criterion states that $D^{(p-1) / k} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ if and only if $D$ is a $k$ th power residue $(\bmod p)$. Thus if $D$ is not a $k$ th power residue $(\bmod p)$, for some $k$ th root of unity $\alpha_{k}(\not \equiv 1)$ moduo $p$ we have $D^{(p-1) / k} \equiv \alpha_{k}(\bmod p)$. Clearly $\alpha_{2}=-1$. For $k>2$ Emma Lehmer [3] has proposed the problem of specifying which $\alpha_{k}$ corresponds to a given $D$. For $D=2, k=3,4,5,8$, she has given explicit expressions for $\alpha_{k}$ in terms of certain quadratic partitions of $p$. Elsewhere the author [6] has given a complete treatment of the case $k=3$. In this paper we treat the case $k=5$. Full details are given for $D=2,3,5$. The method used is described in $\S 4$ and can be applied to any value of $D$ if the reader has the patience to supply the many details.
2. Two lemmas involving the domain $Z[\zeta]$. We set $\zeta=$ $\exp (2 \pi i / 5)$. If $Q$ denotes the field of rational numbers, the cyclotomic field formed by adjoining $\zeta$ to $Q$ is denoted by $Q(\zeta)$. The domain of integers of $Q(\zeta)$ is denoted by $Z[\zeta]$. Every element of $Z[\zeta]$ can be written in the form $a_{1} \zeta+a_{2} \zeta^{2}+a_{3} \zeta^{3}+a_{4} \zeta^{4}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ are rational integers. The domain $Z[\zeta]$ is a unique factorization domain. The element $1-\zeta$ is a prime in $Z[\zeta]$ which divides 5 . The units of $Z[\zeta]$ are given by $\pm \zeta^{i}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{j}$, where $i$ and $j$ are integers with $0 \leqq i \leqq 4$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are associated nonzero elements, that is $\alpha / \beta$ is a unit, we write $\alpha \sim \beta$. The complex conjugate of an element $\alpha \in Z[\zeta]$ will be denoted by $\bar{\alpha}(\in Z[\zeta])$. We will need the following two results.

Lemma 1. If $\alpha \in Z[\zeta]$ is such that $\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod 1-\zeta)$ then $\alpha$ possesses an associate $\alpha^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{\prime} \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Set $\alpha=a_{1} \zeta+a_{2} \zeta^{2}+a_{3} \zeta^{3}+a_{4} \zeta^{4}, b=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}, c=$
$a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}$. As $\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod 1-\zeta)$ we have $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$. We define $d$ uniquely by $2^{d} b \equiv-1(\bmod 5), 0 \leqq d \leqq 3$. Then we have only to choose $\alpha^{\prime}=\zeta^{c^{2} d}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{d} \alpha$, as $\zeta+\zeta^{4} \equiv 2\left(\bmod (1-3)^{2}\right)$ and $\zeta^{{ }^{2} d} \equiv b\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$.

Lemma 2. If $\alpha, \beta \in Z[\zeta]$ are such that
(a) $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=\beta \bar{\beta}$
(b) $\alpha, \beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod 1-\zeta)$,
(c) $\alpha \equiv \beta\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$,
(d) $\alpha \sim \beta$,
then

$$
\alpha=\beta
$$

Proof. By (d) we have $\alpha= \pm \zeta^{i}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{j} \beta$, for integers $i$ and $j$ with $0 \leqq i \leqq 4$. Thus using (a) we obtain $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{2 j} \beta \bar{\beta}=$ $\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{2 j} \alpha \bar{\alpha}$. Now (b) guarantees that $\alpha \neq 0$, so that $\alpha \bar{\alpha} \neq 0$, and we must have $\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{2 j}=1$. As $\zeta+\zeta^{4}=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)>0$ we have $j=0$ and so $\alpha= \pm \zeta^{i} \beta, 0 \leqq i \leqq 4$. From (b) and (c) we have $\left( \pm \zeta^{i}-1\right) \beta \equiv 0\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right), \beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod 1-\zeta)$, so that

$$
\pm \zeta^{i}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)
$$

As $i=0,1,2,3,4$ this can only hold with the positive sign and $i=0$, so that $\alpha=\beta$.
3. Dickson's diophantine system. Throughout the rest of this paper $p$ denotes a prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. Our results involve the diophantine system

$$
\begin{align*}
16 p & =x^{2}+50 u^{2}+50 v^{2}+125 w^{2}, \quad x \equiv 1(\bmod 5) \\
x w & =v^{2}-4 u v-u^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

A theorem of Dickson [1] asserts that (3.1) has exactly four solutions. If $(x, u, v, w)$ is one of these, the other three are given by $(x,-u$, $-v, w),(x, v,-u,-w),(x,-v, u,-w)$. Taking the first equation in (3.1) modulo 8 and the second one modulo 4 we can show (after a little calculation) that $x+2 u-w \equiv x+2 v+w \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ for any solution of (3.1). This enables us to make the following definition.

Definition 1. For any solution $(x, u, v, w)$ of !(3.1) we define $\psi \equiv \psi(x, u, v, w) \in Z[\zeta]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=c_{1} \zeta+c_{2} \zeta^{2}+c_{3} \zeta^{3}+c_{4} \zeta^{4} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i} \equiv c_{i}(x, u, v, w) \in Z(1 \leqq i \leqq 4)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 c_{1}=-x+2 u+4 v+5 w, \\
& 4 c_{2}=-x+4 u-2 v-5 w, \\
& 4 c_{3}=-x-4 u+2 v-5 w,  \tag{3.3}\\
& 4 c_{4}=-x-2 u-4 v+5 w
\end{align*}
$$

The properties of $\psi$ that we shall need are given in the next lemma.

Lemma 3. (a) $\psi \bar{\psi}=p$.
(b) $\psi \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$.
(c) If $\sigma_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq 4)$ is the automorphism of $Q(\zeta)$
defined by $\sigma_{i}(\zeta)=\zeta^{i}$ then G.C.D. $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ is a prime of $Z[\zeta]$, where $\psi_{i}=\sigma_{i}(\psi)(1 \leqq i \leqq 4)$.

Proof. (a) As $\zeta+\zeta^{4}=1 / 2(-1+\sqrt{5}), \zeta^{2}+\zeta^{3}=1 / 2(-1-\sqrt{5})$, we have from (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\psi} \bar{\psi}= & \left\{\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}+c_{4}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}+c_{2} c_{3}+c_{3} c_{4}+c_{1} c_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+c_{1} c_{4}+c_{2} c_{4}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}+c_{2} c_{3}+c_{3} c_{4}-c_{1} c_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-c_{1} c_{4}-c_{2} c_{4}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{16}\left(x^{2}+50 u^{2}+50 v^{2}+125 w^{2}\right)-\frac{5 \sqrt{5}}{8} \\
& \times\left(v^{2}-4 u v-u^{2}-x w\right)=p .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) From (3.1) and (3.3) we have

$$
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}=-x \equiv-1, c_{1}+2 c_{2}+3 c_{3}+4 c_{4} \equiv 0(\bmod 5)
$$

so that $\psi \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$.
(c) Let $\pi$ be a prime dividing $p$. As $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ we have $p=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{3} \pi_{4}$, where $\pi_{i}=\sigma_{i}(\pi), 1 \leqq i \leqq 4$. By (a) $\psi$ is (up to multiplication by a unit) one of $\pi_{1} \pi_{2}, \pi_{1} \pi_{3}, \pi_{2} \pi_{4}, \pi_{3} \pi_{4}$. In each case G.C.D. ( $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ ) is a prime.

Lemma 1 and Lemma 3(c) enable us to define a prime $\mathscr{K}$ of $Z[\zeta]$ as follows.

Definition 2. For any solution $(x, u, v, w)$ of (3.1) we let $\mathscr{K} \equiv \mathscr{K}(x, u, v, w) \in Z[\zeta]$ be such that

$$
\mathscr{K} \sim \text { G.C.D. }\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right), \quad \mathscr{K} \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right) .
$$

We remark that $\mathscr{K}$ is not unique, indeed all such $\mathscr{K}$ are given by
$(-1)^{r}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{4}\right)^{2 r} \mathscr{K}^{r}(r \in Z)$. However this does not matter for our purposes. Next we give the prime decomposition of $\psi$ using Lemma 2.

Lemma 4. $\psi=-\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3}$.
Proof. As $\mathscr{K} \sim$ G.C.D. $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ we have $\mathscr{K}_{1} \mid \psi_{1}$, say, $\psi_{1}=\mathscr{K}_{1} \lambda_{1}$. Hence $\psi_{2}=\mathscr{K}_{2} \lambda_{2}$ and as $\mathscr{K}_{1} \mid \psi_{2}$ we must have $\mathscr{K}_{1} \mid \lambda_{2}$, that is $\mathscr{K}_{3} \mid \lambda_{1}$, say $\lambda_{1}=\mathscr{K}_{3} \mu$. Then $\psi_{1}=\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3} \mu$ and so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{2} \mathscr{K}_{3} \mathscr{K}_{4} & =p=\psi_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}=\left(\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3} \mu\right)\left(\mathscr{K}_{4} \mathscr{K}_{2} \bar{\mu}\right) \\
& =\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{2} \mathscr{K}_{3} \mathscr{K}_{4} \mu \bar{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have $\mu \bar{\mu}=1$, so that $\mu$ is a unit of $Z[\zeta]$, proving that $\psi \sim \mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3}$. Clearly $\psi$ and $-\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2 so that $\psi=-\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3}$.

Finally in this section we set for any solution $(x, u, v, w)$ of (3.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(x, u, v, w) \\
& \quad=\frac{w\left(125 w^{2}-x^{2}\right)+2(x w+5 u v)(25 w-x+20 u-10 v)}{w\left(125 w^{2}-x^{2}\right)+2(x w+5 u v)(25 w-x-20 u+10 v)} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and prove
Lemma 5. $\quad \alpha(x, u, v, w) \equiv \zeta(\bmod \mathscr{K})$.
Proof. From (3.2) and $\psi_{1} \equiv \psi_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathscr{K})$ we obtain modulo $\mathscr{K}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 c_{1} \equiv\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) \psi_{3}+(\zeta-1) \psi_{4}, \\
& 5 c_{2} \equiv\left(\zeta^{4}-1\right) \psi_{3}+\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) \psi_{4}, \\
& 5 c_{3} \equiv(\zeta-1) \psi_{3}+\left(\zeta^{3}-1\right) \psi_{4}, \\
& 5 c_{4} \equiv\left(\zeta^{3}-1\right) \psi_{3}+\left(\zeta^{4}-1\right) \psi_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Appealing to (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & \equiv \psi_{3}+\psi_{4}, & & 25 u \equiv \alpha \psi_{3}+\beta \psi_{4}, \\
25 v & \equiv \beta \psi_{3}-\alpha \psi_{4}, & & 25 w \equiv-\gamma \psi_{3}+\gamma \psi_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =-2 \zeta+\zeta^{2}-\zeta^{3}+2 \zeta^{4} \\
\beta & =\zeta+2 \zeta^{2}-2 \zeta^{3}-\zeta^{4} \\
\gamma & =\zeta-\zeta^{2}-\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\alpha \beta=\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}=5 \gamma, \quad \gamma^{2}=5
$$

After some calculation we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25\left\{w\left(125 w^{2}-x^{2}\right)+2(x w+5 u v)(25 w-x+20 u-10 v)\right\} \\
& \quad \equiv 4 \gamma \psi_{3} \psi_{4}\left((2+2 \zeta) \psi_{3}+2 \zeta^{3} \psi_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25\left\{w\left(125 w^{2}-x^{2}\right)+2(x w+5 u v)(25 w-x-20 u+10 v)\right\} \\
& \quad \equiv 4 \gamma \psi_{3} \psi_{4}\left(\left(2+2 \zeta^{4}\right) \psi_{3}+2 \zeta^{2} \psi_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the result follows immediately.
4. Outline of method. We start with the necessary and sufficient condition for $D$ (without loss of generality we may take $D$ to be a (positive) prime) to be a quintic residue $(\bmod p)$ in terms of congruences $(\bmod D)$ involving a solution of (3.1). These have been given for $D=2,3,5,7$ in [4] and for $D=11,13,17,19$ in [9]. Results for other values of $D$ could be obtained using the period equation as in [9]. If $D$ is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$ this condition is used to specify a unique solution of (3.1) by means of congruences $(\bmod D)$. This unique solution is specified in such a way that after using Lemma 4 we find that the corresponding $\mathscr{K}$ satisfies $(\mathscr{K} / D)_{5}=\zeta$. If $D \neq 5$ we can then appeal to Eisenstein's reciprocity law
"If $\alpha \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{2}\right)$ and $a$ is a rational integer prime to 5 then $(\alpha / a)_{5}=(\alpha / \alpha)_{5}$ "
to obtain $(D / \mathscr{K})_{5}=\zeta$, so that $D^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv \alpha(x, u, v, w)(\bmod \mathscr{K})$ by Lemma 5. As both $D^{(p-1) / 5}$ and $\alpha(x, u, v, w)$ are rational we have $D^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv \alpha(x, u, v, w)(\bmod p)$ as required. If $D=5$ we must replace the use of Eisenstein's reciprocity law by Kummer's supplement to the law of quintic reciprocity involving the prime $1-\zeta$ [7]. Unfortunately, this requires working modulo 25 rather than modulo 5 and so involves a large number of cases. We thus give an alternative approach based on a result of Muskat [5].
5. $D=2$. Lehmer [2] has shown that 2 is a quintic residue $(\bmod p)$ if and only if $x \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, where $(x, u, v, w)$ is any solution of (3.1). Thus if 2 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$ we can find by Dickson's theorem a unique solution ( $x, u, v, w$ ) of (3.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \equiv 1(\bmod 2), \quad u \equiv 0(\bmod 2), \quad x+u-v \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of this solution a simple calculation using (3.3) shows that $\psi \equiv \zeta^{3}(\bmod 2)$. Then by an examination of cases in conjunction with $\psi=-\mathscr{K}_{1} \mathscr{K}_{3}$ (Lemma 4) we find that

$$
\mathscr{K} \equiv \zeta^{2}, \quad \zeta+\zeta^{3} \quad \text { or } \quad \zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{3}(\bmod 2),
$$

so that $(\mathscr{K} / 2)_{5}=\zeta$. Appealing to Eisenstein's reciprocity theorem as indicated in $\S 4$ we have reproved

Theorem 1 (Lehmer [3]). Let $p$ be $a$ prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ for which 2 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$. Let $(x, u, v, w)$ be the unique solution of (3.1) satisfying (5.1). Then we have

$$
2^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv \alpha(x, u, v, w)(\bmod p)
$$

6. $D=3$. (Lehmer [2] has shown that 3 is a quintic residue $(\bmod p)$ if and only if $u \equiv v \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, where $(x, u, v, w)$ is any solution of (3.1).) Thus if 3 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$ we can find by Dickson's theorem a unique solution ( $x, u, v, w$ ) of (3.1) satisfying one of
(a) $x \equiv 1, \quad u \equiv 1, \quad v \equiv 0, \quad w \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$,
(b) $x \equiv 2, \quad u \equiv 2, \quad v \equiv 0, \quad w \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$,
(c) $\quad x \equiv 1, \quad u \equiv 2, \quad v \equiv 1, \quad w \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$,
(d) $\quad x \equiv 2, \quad u \equiv 1, \quad v \equiv 2, \quad w \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$.

In terms of this solution a simple calculation using (3.3) shows that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\psi \equiv-\zeta-\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{4}(\bmod 3), & \text { if } & \text { (a) holds } \\
\psi \equiv \zeta+\zeta^{2}-\zeta^{4} & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } & \text { (b) holds } \\
\psi \equiv-\zeta^{4} & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } & \text { (c) holds } \\
\psi \equiv \zeta^{4} & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } & \text { (d) holds }
\end{array}
$$

Then by an examination of cases $(\bmod 3)$ in conjunction with Lemma 4 we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{K} \equiv \pm\left(\zeta-\zeta^{2}-\zeta^{4}\right), \pm\left(\zeta-\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{4}\right)(\bmod 3), \text { if (a) holds }, \\
& \mathscr{K} \equiv \pm\left(\zeta^{3}-\zeta^{4}\right), \pm\left(\zeta-\zeta^{2}-\zeta^{3}\right)(\bmod 3), \text { if (b) holds }, \\
& \mathscr{K} \equiv \pm \zeta, \quad \pm\left(\zeta-\zeta^{3}-\zeta^{4}\right)(\bmod 3), \text { if (c) holds, } \\
& \mathscr{K} \equiv \pm\left(\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{4}\right), \quad \pm\left(\zeta+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{4}\right)(\bmod 3), \quad \text { if } \quad \text { (d) holds },
\end{aligned}
$$

so that in every case $(\mathscr{K} / 3)_{5}=\zeta$. Appealing to Eisenstein's reciprocity theorem as before we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let $p$ be a prime $=1(\bmod 5)$ for which 3 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$. Let $(x, u, v, w)$ be the unique solution of (3.1) satisfying (6.1). Then we have

$$
3^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv \alpha(x, u, v, w)(\bmod p)
$$

7. $D=5$. For $p$ a prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 5), g$ a primitive $\operatorname{root}(\bmod p)$,
$h, k$ integers selected from $0,1,2,3,4$, the cyclotomic number $(h, k)_{5}$ is defined to be the number of solutions $(s, t)$ with $0 \leqq s, t<(p-1) / 5$ of $g^{5 s+h}+1 \equiv g^{5 t+k}(\bmod p)$. Let $(x, u, v, w)$ be any solution of (3.1). Choose $g$ such that $\left(g / \mathscr{K}^{C}\right)_{5}=\zeta$. Then it can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25(0,0)_{5}=p-14+3 x, \\
& 100(0,1)_{5}= 100(1,0)_{5}=100(4,4)_{5}=4 p-16-3 x+50 v+25 w, \\
& 100(0,2)_{5}= 100(2,0)_{5}=100(3,3)_{5}=4 p-16-3 x+50 u-25 w, \\
& 100(0,3)_{5}= 100(3,0)_{5}=100(2,2)_{5}=4 p-16-3 x-50 u-25 w, \\
& 100(0,4)_{5}= 100(4,0)_{5}=100(1,1)_{5}=4 p-16-3 x-50 v+25 w, \\
& 100(1,2)_{5}=100(1,4)_{5}=100(2,1)_{5}=100(3,4)_{5}=100(4,1)_{5} \\
&= 100(4,3)_{5}=4 p+4+2 x-50 w, \\
& 100(1,3)_{5}=100(2,3)_{5}=100(2,4)_{5}=100(3,1)_{5}=100(3,2)_{5} \\
&= 100(4,2)_{5}=4 p+4+2 x+50 w,
\end{aligned}
$$

and Muskat [5] has shown that

$$
\left.\operatorname{ind}_{g}(5) \equiv(0,4)_{5}-(0,1)_{5}+2\left((0,3)_{5}-(0,2)_{5}\right)\right)(\bmod 5)
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{g}(5) \equiv-2 u-v(\bmod 5)
$$

Thus if 5 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p) 2 u+v \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and by Dickson's theorem there is a unique solution of (3.1) satisfying $2 u+v \equiv 4(\bmod 5)$. With this solution we have $\operatorname{ind}_{g}(5) \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ and so

$$
5^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv g^{\operatorname{ind}_{g}(5) \cdot(p-1) / 5} \equiv g^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv\left(\frac{g}{\mathscr{K}}\right)_{5} \equiv \zeta(\bmod \mathscr{K}) .
$$

Thus we have proved
Theorem 3. Let $p$ be a prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ for which 5 is a quintic nonresidue $(\bmod p)$. Let $(x, u, v, w)$ be the unique solution of (3.1) satisfying $2 u+v \equiv 4(\bmod 5)$. Then we have

$$
5^{(p-1) / 5} \equiv \alpha(x, u, v, w)(\bmod p)
$$

8. Example. We take $p=311$. A solution of (3.1) in this case is $(-49,7,0,1)$ (see for example [8]) so none of $2,3,5$ is a quintic residue $(\bmod 311)$. The unique solution given by Theorem 1 is $(-49$, $0,7,-1$ ) so that

$$
2^{(p-1) / 5}=2^{62} \equiv \frac{2276-98.46}{2276+98.94} \equiv \frac{-2232}{11488} \equiv \frac{-55}{-19} \equiv 52(\bmod 311)
$$

The unique solution given by Theorem 2 is $(-49,-7,0,1)$ so that

$$
3^{(p-1) / 5}=3^{62} \equiv \frac{-2276+98.66}{-2276-98.214} \equiv \frac{4192}{-23248} \equiv \frac{149}{77} \equiv 216(\bmod 311)
$$

The unique solution given by Theorem 3 is $(-49,7,0,1)$ so that

$$
5^{(p-1) / 5}=5^{62} \equiv \frac{-2276-98.214}{-2276+98.66} \equiv \frac{-23248}{4192} \equiv \frac{77}{149} \equiv 36(\bmod 311)
$$
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