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If $f(x)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients then the integer $r$ is said to be a residue of $f(x)$ modulo an integer $m$ if the congruence

$$
f(x) \equiv r(\bmod m)
$$

is soluble for $x$; otherwise $r$ is termed a non-residue. When $m$ is a prime $p$, Mordell [4] has shown that the least nonnegative residue $\ell$ of $f(x)(\bmod p)$ satisfies

$$
\ell \leq \mathrm{d}^{1 / 2} \log \mathrm{p}
$$

where $d$ is the degree of $f(x)$. When $f(x)$ is a cubic he has also shown that the least non-negative non-residue $k$ of $f(x)$ $(\bmod p)$ is ${ }^{*} 0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)$. It is the purpose of this note to discuss the distribution of the residues of the cubic $f(x)(\bmod p)$ in greater detail. To keep the notation simple we take $f(x)$ in the form $x^{3}+a x ;$ no real loss of generality is involved, everything we do for $x^{3}+a x$ can be done for $A x^{3}+B x^{2}+C x+D$ but at the cost of complicating the notation. When $a \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, $f(x)=x^{3}$ and our results are well-known in this case. Henceforth we assume that $a \neq 0(\bmod p)$. Let
(1)

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{r}=1 \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{p}} 1, \quad(\mathrm{i}=0,1,2,3)
$$

Unless otherwise stated all constants implied by 0 -symbols are absolute.
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where $N_{r}$ denotes the number of solutions $x$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+a x \equiv r(\bmod p) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that for $p>3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{p+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-3 a}{p}\right)-1\right\}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4)

$$
n_{2}=\left(\frac{-3 a}{p}\right)+1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left\{p-\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)-3\left(\frac{-3 a}{p}\right)-3\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the number of residues of $x^{3}+\operatorname{ax}(\bmod p)$, which is just $n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3}\left\{2 p+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right\}=\frac{2}{3} p+0(1), \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tells us that, for large $p$, approximately two-thirds of the integers

$$
\begin{equation*}
1,2,3, \ldots, p \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are residues of $x^{3}+a x$. We show that this is also true for

$$
\begin{equation*}
1,2,3, \ldots, h \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $h$ is sufficiently large. More precisely we show that the number of residues of $x^{3}+a x$ in (8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} h+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A consequence of this is Mordell's estimate for $k$. In addition, as $\frac{2}{3}>\frac{1}{2}$, it shows that the least pair of consecutive positive
residues is also $0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)$.
In the proof of (9) (and later) we use Vinogradov's method for incomplete character and exponential sums. This requires the familiar Polya-Vinogradov inequality, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{h} e(y x)\right| \leq p \log p, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \geq 61$, where $e(t)$ denotes $\exp \left(2 \pi i t p^{-1}\right)$. For the complete sums involved we appeal to the general estimates of Perel'muter [5]. These include the estimate of Carlitz and Uchiyama [2], used by Mordell in [4], namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p} e(f(x))\right| \leq(d-1) p^{1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d denotes the degree of the polynomial $f$, and Weil's estimate [6] for the Kloosterman sum, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e\left(a x+b x^{-1}\right)\right| \leq 2 p^{1 / 2}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $x(\bmod p)$ and $a, b \neq 0$ $(\bmod p)$. All these estimates are consequences of Weil's proof of the Riemann hypothesis for algebraic function fields over a finite field.

Analogous to (1) we set

$$
m_{i}=\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{r}=1 \\ \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{h}} 1
$$

so that we require $m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}$. From [4] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}=0(1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Mordell's paper [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}+2 m_{2}+3 m_{3}=h+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that it suffices to determine $m_{1}$. Now (2) has one solution if and only if

$$
\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)=-1
$$

so

$$
m_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{h}\left\{1-\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)\right\}+0(1)
$$

Applying Vinogradov's method and appealing to Perel'muter's results [5] (or to Neil's estimate (12) for the Kloosterman sum) we have

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{h}\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)=0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\frac{1}{2} h+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider pairs of consecutive residues of $x^{3}+\operatorname{ax}(\bmod p)$. Define $n_{i j} \quad(0 \leq i, j \leq 3)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i j}=\sum_{N_{r=1}^{p}}^{N_{r}} \stackrel{N}{N}_{r+1}=j \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the number of such pairs is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}{\sum} \quad n_{i j} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) $n_{i 2}, n_{2 j}=0(1)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Also it is easy to
show that $n_{13}=n_{31}$ so it suffices to evaluate $n_{11}, n_{13}$ and $n_{33}$. We begin by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{11}=\frac{p}{4}+0\left(p^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{11}= & \sum_{r=1}^{p} 1 \\
& \left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27(r+1)^{2}}{p}\right)=-1 \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left\{1-\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)\right\}\left\{1-\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27(r+1)^{2}}{p}\right)\right\}+0(1) \\
= & \frac{p}{4}-\frac{1}{4}{\underset{\sum}{r=1}}_{p}^{p}\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sum_{r=1}^{p}\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27(r+1)^{2}}{p}\right)}^{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \underset{r=1}{p}\left(\frac{\left(-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}\right)\left(-4 a^{3}-27(r+1)^{2}\right)}{p}\right)+0(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two character sums are $0(1)$ and the last one by Perel'muter's results is $\leq 3 p^{1 / 2}$ in absolute value, since $\left(-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}\right)\left(-4 a^{3}-27(r+1)^{2}\right)$ is not identically $(\bmod p) a$ square in $r$.

We next prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{13}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{12}+0\left(\mathrm{p}^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We do this by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{11}+2 n_{12}+3 n_{13}=\frac{p}{2}+0\left(p^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(20) follows since we know $n_{11}$ and $n_{12}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{r=1}^{p} & \sum_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{L}} \\
\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27 r^{2}}{p}\right)=-1 & x^{3}+a x \equiv r+1
\end{array} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{p}\left\{1-\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27\left(x^{3}+a x-1\right)^{2}}{p}\right)\right\}+0(1) . \\
& =\frac{p}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{p}\left(\frac{-4 a^{3}-27\left(x^{3}+a x-1\right)^{2}}{p}\right)+0(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $27^{2}\left(x^{3}+a x-1\right)^{2}+108 a^{3}$ is not identically (mod p) a square in $x$ as a $\neq 0(\bmod p)$. Hence Perel'muter's work tells us that the character sum is $0\left(\mathrm{p}^{1 / 2}\right)$. This proves (21).

## Finally consider

$$
n_{11}+2\left(n_{12}+n_{21}\right)+3\left(n_{13}+n_{31}\right)+4 n_{22}+6\left(n_{23}+n_{32}\right)+9 n_{33} .
$$

This is just the number of solutions ( $x, y$ ) of

$$
\left(x^{3}+a x\right)-\left(y^{3}+a y\right)-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By a result of Lang and Weil [3] this number is

$$
p+0\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{33}=\frac{p}{36}+0\left(p^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the number of pairs of consecutive residues is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{9} p+0\left(p^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude by calculating the number of pairs of residues of $x^{3}+a x(\bmod p)$ in (8). We define $m_{i j}(0 \leq i, j \leq 3)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i j}=\sum_{\substack{N_{r}=1}}^{p} 1 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) we have $m_{i 2}, m_{2 j}=0(1)(0 \leq i, j \leq 3)$ and, much as before, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{11}=\frac{h}{4}+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{13}=m_{31}=\frac{h}{12}+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only difficulty is the estimation of $m_{33}$. We find it necessary to appeal to a recent deep estimate of Bombieri and Davenport [1] for an exponential sum of the type

$$
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{p}{\Sigma} e(f(x)) \\
x, y=1 \\
\rho(x, y) \\
\equiv 0(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is absolutely irreducible (mod p$)$. We have $m_{11}+2\left(m_{12}+m_{21}\right)+3\left(m_{13}+m_{31}\right)+4 m_{22}+6\left(m_{23}+m_{32}\right)+9 m_{33}$

$$
=\sum_{r=1}^{h} N_{r} N_{r+1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{s=1}^{h} \sum_{t=1}^{p} N_{r} N_{r+1} e(t(r-s)) \\
& =\frac{h}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} N_{r} N_{r+1}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{p} N_{r} N_{r+1} e(t r)\right\}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{h} e(-s t)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|m_{11}+2\left(m_{12}+m_{21}\right)+\ldots+9 m_{33}-\frac{h}{p}\left(p+o\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \max _{1 \leq t \leq p-1}\left|\sum_{r=1}^{p} N_{r} N_{r+1} e(t r)\right| \log p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\underset{r=1}{p} N_{r} N_{r+1} e(t r) ~}_{\text {r }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{p^{2}} \stackrel{p}{x, y, u, v=1}_{p} e\{u f(x)+v f(y)-v\} \sum_{r=1}^{p} e\{(t-u-v) r\} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{x, y, v=1}^{p} e\{(t-v) f(x)+v f(y)-v\} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{x, y=1}^{p} e\{t f(x)\} \underset{y=1}{\sum} e\{v(f(y)-f(x)-1)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $f(y)-f(x)-1$ is absolutely irreducible ( $\bmod p$ ), by the mentioned result of Davenport and Bombieri, this sum in absolute value is less than $18 p^{1 / 2}+9$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{33}=\frac{h}{36}+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the number of pairs of consecutive residues in (8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 h}{9}+0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the least triple of consecutive positive residues of $x^{3}+a x(\bmod p)$ is also $0\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)$.

In conclusion we would like to say that a number of modifications of this work are possible; for example the results obtained can be extended to arbitrary arithmetic progressions without difficulty and also to quartic polynomials. Finally we offer the following

CONJECTURE: For a fixed positive integer $k$ the number $N_{k}(a)$ of blocks of $k$ consecutive residues of $x^{3}+a x(\bmod p)$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k}(a)}{p}=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k}
$$

for each $k$, uniformly in a $\neq 0(\bmod p)$.
This has been verified for $k=1$ and 2 .
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