

# A CLASS OF CHARACTER SUMS

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  denote an odd prime,  $\chi$  a multiplicative character modulo  $p$ ,

$$e(t) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi it}{p}\right),$$

( $t$  real) and  $r_1(x), r_2(x)$  rational functions of  $x$  with integral coefficients. The character sum  $\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x))$  has been estimated by Perel'muter [3]. (The asterisk (\*) means that the singularities (mod  $p$ ) of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are excluded and in the sum  $1/v$  ( $v \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ) is to be interpreted as the unique integer  $w$  (mod  $p$ ) such that  $vw \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ). Perel'muter has given conditions under which this sum is  $O(p^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , thus generalizing the earlier deep work of Weil [4] and Carlitz and Uchiyama [2]. It is the purpose of this note to show that Perel'muter's work can be applied to estimate the character sum

$$S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} x^k \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . To do this we introduce

$$S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)), \tag{1.2}$$

and for any integer  $m$  we let  $\bar{m}$  denote the function defined by  $\bar{m}(x) = mx$ . Then we set

$$\Phi_p = \Phi_p(r_1, r_2, \chi) = \max_m |S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)| \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$L_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)}{m^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \tag{1.4}$$

where the maximum in (1.3) is taken over all integers  $m$  (or in view of the periodicity in  $m$  of  $S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)$  over those satisfying  $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ ), the sum  $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  is taken in the narrow sense, that is as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m=-t}^{+t}$  and the dash (') means that the term  $m = 0$  is omitted. If  $r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}$  ( $m = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ ) satisfy the conditions given by Perel'muter [3] we know that  $\Phi_p = O(p^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . Trivially  $\Phi_p < p$  so that  $L_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$

Received 27 August, 1969. This research was supported by a National Research Council of Canada Grant (No. A7233).

is well-defined for  $k \geq 2$  and in this case the sum can be taken in the wide sense, that is, as  $\lim_{t_1, t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=-t_2}^{+t_1}$ . However when  $k = 1$  it is not obvious that the sum exists, that it does is shown in Lemma 1. Lemmas 2, 3, 4, 5 are devoted to estimating  $L_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Lemma 6 gives the relationship between  $S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$  and  $S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi)$  and  $L_p(n, r_1, r_2, \chi)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) from which the final estimate  $S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) = O(\Phi_p p^k \log p)$  can be deduced.

## 2. Existence of $L_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)$

We prove

**LEMMA 1.**  $L_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)$  exists.

*Proof.* For any positive integer  $t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-t}^{+t} \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)}{m} &= \sum_{m=1}^t \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)}{m} - \sum_{m=1}^t \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 - \bar{m}, \chi)}{m} \\ &= 2i \sum_{m=1}^t \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \sin \frac{2\pi mx}{p} \right\} \\ &= 2i \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \sum_{m=1}^t \frac{\sin(2\pi mx/p)}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $x = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{m=1}^t \frac{\sin(2\pi mx/p)}{m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi mx/p)}{m} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi x}{p}, \quad (2.1)$$

so that

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)}{m} = \frac{\pi i}{p} \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} (p-2x) \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)), \quad (2.2)$$

which proves the result.

## 3. Estimation of $L_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$ , $k \geq 1$

We require a number of lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.** For  $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$  we have

$$x = \frac{p-1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(mx)}{e(-m)-1}.$$

*Proof.* The polynomial  $(z+i)^p - (z-i)^p$  is of degree  $p-1$ , its coefficient of  $z^{p-2}$  is 0 and it has the  $p-1$  roots  $\cot \frac{m\pi}{p}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ). Hence  $\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \cot \frac{m\pi}{p} = 0$ ,

and as  $\cot \frac{m\pi}{p} = -2i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e(-m)-1} \right\}$ , we have

$$\frac{p-1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{e(-m)-1} = 0,$$

which proves the result for  $x = 0$ . For  $x = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(mx) - e(m(x-1))}{e(-m)-1} = - \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} e(mx) = 1,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(mx)}{e(-m)-1} &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(m(x-1))}{e(-m)-1} \\ &= 2 + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(m(x-2))}{e(-m)-1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= x + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{e(-m)-1} \\ &= x - \frac{p-1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

**LEMMA 3.**  $|S_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)| \leq \Phi_p p \log p$ .

*Proof.* We have by Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi) &= \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{e(mx)}{e(-m)-1} \right\} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2} S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi) + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{e(-m)-1} S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|S_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)| \leq \Phi_p \left\{ \frac{p-1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|e(-m)-1|} \right\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|e(-m)-1|} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sin(m\pi/p)} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{\sin(m\pi/p)} \\ &< \frac{p}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{1}{m} \\ &< \frac{p}{2} \log p, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$|S_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)| \leq \Phi_p p \log p.$$

LEMMA 4.  $L_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi) = O(\Phi_p \log p)$ , where the constant implied by the  $O$ -symbol is absolute.

*Proof.* From the proof of Lemma 1 (2.2)

$$L_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi) = \pi i S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi) - \frac{2\pi i}{p} S_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi),$$

so that by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} |L_p(1, r_1, r_2, \chi)| &\leq \pi\Phi_p + 2\pi\Phi_p \log p \\ &= O(\Phi_p \log p). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $L_p(n, r_1, r_2, \chi) = O(\Phi_p)$ , where the constant implied by the  $O$ -symbol is absolute.

*Proof.* This is clear as

$$|L_p(n, r_1, r_2, \chi)| \leq \Phi_p \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m^n} = O(\Phi_p).$$

#### 4. Estimation of $S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$ .

We begin by relating  $S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi)$  to  $S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi)$  and  $L_p(n, r_1, r_2, \chi)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ),

(Lemma 6). This lemma was suggested by [1]. (Note that the 2 appearing in the expression  $\frac{p^3 \sqrt{p}}{2\pi}$  (last line, p. 153) should be omitted).

LEMMA 6. For  $k \geq 1$

$$S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) = \frac{p^k}{k+1} S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi) - p^k \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-(n-2))}{(2\pi i)^n} L_p(n, r_1, r_2, \chi).$$

*Proof.* By Jordan's test  $x^k$  has a convergent Fourier series for  $0 < x < p$ , say

$$x^k = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} w_m e(mx),$$

where the sum is taken in the narrow sense and the coefficients are given by

$$w_m = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p x^k e(-mx) dx \quad (m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

Taking  $m = 0$  we have

$$w_0 = \frac{p^k}{k+1}$$

and for  $m \neq 0$  we have

$$w_m = -p^k \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-(r-2))}{(2\pi im)^r}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & -p^k \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-(r-2))}{(2\pi i)^r} L_p(r, r_1, r_2, \chi) \\ &= -p^k \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-(r-2))}{(2\pi i)^r} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi)}{m^r} \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} w_m S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} w_m \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x) + mx) \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} w_m e(mx) \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(r_1(x)) e(r_2(x)) \{x^k - w_0\} \\ &= S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) - \frac{p^k}{k+1} S_p(r_1, r_2, \chi), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

**THEOREM 1.**  $S_p(k, r_1, r_2, \chi) = O(\Phi_p p^k \log p)$ , where the implied constant depends only on  $k$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate from Lemmas 4, 5, 6.

### 5. An example

We finish by giving one simple application of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.**

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x^k \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = O(p^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \log p),$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* Here  $r_1(x) = x$ ,  $r_2(x) = 0$ ,  $\chi(x) = \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  so that

$$S_p(r_1, r_2 + \bar{m}, \chi) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) e(mx) = \left(\frac{m}{p}\right) i^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)^2} p^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

giving

$$\Phi_p = \max_m \left| \left(\frac{m}{p}\right) i^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)^2} p^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| = p^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

as required.

### References

1. R. Ayoub, S. Chowla and H. Walum, "On sums involving quadratic characters", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 42 (1967), 152-154.
2. L. Carlitz and S. Uchiyama, "Bounds for exponential sums", *Duke Math. J.*, 24 (1957), 37-41.
3. G. I. Perel'muter, "On certain sums of characters", *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 18 (1963), 145-149.
4. A. Weil, "On some exponential sums", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, 34 (1948), 204-207.

Carleton University,  
Ottawa, Canada.