

Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero.

Yuly Billig *

Abstract. We describe the structure of the irreducible highest weight modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra at level zero. We prove that such a module is either isomorphic to a Verma module or to a quotient of two Verma modules.

Introduction.

In this paper we study the structure of the irreducible representations for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra \mathcal{L} at level zero. This Lie algebra is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra of differential operators on a circle of order at most one:

$$\left\{ f(t) \frac{d}{dt} + g(t) \mid f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \right\}.$$

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra has an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg subalgebra and a Virasoro subalgebra. These subalgebras, however, do not form a semidirect product, but instead, the natural action of the Virasoro subalgebra on the Heisenberg subalgebra is twisted with a 2-cocycle (see (1.1)-(1.3) for the precise definition).

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra \mathcal{L} has been studied by Arbarello et al. in [ACKP], where a connection is established between the second cohomology of certain moduli spaces of curves and the second cohomology of the Lie algebra of differential operators of order at most one. Arbarello et al. also proved that when the central element of the Heisenberg subalgebra acts in a non-zero way, an irreducible highest weight module for \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the tensor product of an irreducible module for the Virasoro algebra and an irreducible module for the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra.

The goal of the present paper is to study the case when the central element of the Heisenberg subalgebra acts trivially (level zero case). It turns out that the picture in the level zero case is quite interesting and very different from the generic case of non-zero level. Our main result (Theorem 1 below) states that either the Verma module itself is irreducible or the irreducible highest weight module is a quotient of two Verma modules. From this we immediately get the characters of the irreducible modules for \mathcal{L} at level zero.

Our work is motivated by the representation theory of the toroidal Lie algebras. Level zero modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra appear as one of the ingredients in the construction of the vertex operator representations for the toroidal Lie algebras given in [B].

We will denote by \mathbb{Z} the set of integers and by \mathbb{N} the set of natural numbers $\{1, 2, \dots\}$.

* Research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

AMS subject classification: 17B68, 17B65.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Victor Kac for bringing the paper [ACKP] to my attention.

1. Twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra.

We define the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra \mathcal{L} as a Lie algebra with the basis

$$\{L(n), I(n), C_L, C_{LI}, C_I, | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the Lie bracket given by

$$[L(n), L(m)] = (n - m)L(n + m) + \delta_{n, -m} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} C_L, \quad (1.1)$$

$$[L(n), I(m)] = -mI(n + m) - \delta_{n, -m} (n^2 + n) C_{LI}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$[I(n), I(m)] = n\delta_{n, -m} C_I, \quad (1.3)$$

$$[\mathcal{L}, C_L] = [\mathcal{L}, C_{LI}] = [\mathcal{L}, C_I] = 0, .$$

This Lie algebra has an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg subalgebra and a Virasoro subalgebra intertwined with the cocycle (1.2). The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra \mathcal{L} is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra $\{f(t)\frac{d}{dt} + g(t) | f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\}$ of differential operators of order at most one. The corresponding projection is given by $L(n) \mapsto -t^{n+1}\frac{d}{dt}$, $I(n) \mapsto t^n$. The center of \mathcal{L} is four-dimensional and is spanned by $\{I(0), C_L, C_{LI}, C_I\}$.

We are using the symbol I because we may think of the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra as the affinization of $gl_1(\mathbb{C})$. In this interpretation I is the identity matrix.

Introduce a \mathbb{Z} grading on \mathcal{L} by $\deg L(n) = \deg I(n) = n$ and $\deg C_L = \deg C_{LI} = \deg C_I = 0$, and decompose \mathcal{L} with respect to this grading: $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+$.

Irreducible highest weight representations for \mathcal{L} have been studied by Arbarello et al. in [ACKP], however the case of irreducible representations at level zero, i.e., when C_I acts as zero, was not fully investigated in that paper. It turns out that it is precisely this type of representations that is needed for the construction of the modules for the toroidal Lie algebras in [B]. The purpose of the present paper is to describe the structure of the irreducible modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero. We are able to give a complete description (Theorem 1 below) of these irreducible modules.

We begin by recalling the standard construction of the Verma modules.

Fix arbitrary complex numbers h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I . Let $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ be a 1-dimensional $\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+$ module defined by $L(0)\mathbf{1} = h\mathbf{1}$, $I(0)\mathbf{1} = h_I\mathbf{1}$, $C_L\mathbf{1} = c_L\mathbf{1}$, $C_{LI}\mathbf{1} = c_{LI}\mathbf{1}$, $C_I\mathbf{1} = c_I\mathbf{1}$, $\mathcal{L}_+\mathbf{1} = 0$. As usual, the Verma module $M = M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I)$ is the induced module

$$M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I) = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}) \cong U(\mathcal{L}_-) \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$

The module M is \mathbb{Z} graded by eigenvalues of the operator $L(0) - h\text{Id}$: $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ with $M_n = \{v \in M | L(0)v = (n + h)v\}$.

In order to understand the submodule structure of M , we need to study singular vectors in M . A non-zero homogeneous vector v in a highest weight \mathcal{L} module is called singular if $\mathcal{L}_+v = 0$.

Clearly, the highest weight vector $\mathbf{1}$ itself is singular, while every proper homogeneous submodule of a highest weight module contains a singular vector which is not a multiple of the highest weight vector.

The key to the submodule structure of M is the determinant formula derived in [ACKP]. Let us briefly discuss this result.

The Lie algebra \mathcal{L} has an anti-involution σ :

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(L(n)) &= L(-n), & \sigma(I(n)) &= I(-n) - 2\delta_{n,0}C_{LI}, \\ \sigma(C_L) &= C_L, & \sigma(C_I) &= C_I, & \sigma(C_{LI}) &= -C_{LI}.\end{aligned}$$

The Shapovalov form on M is a bilinear form induced by this anti-involution. It has the invariance property

$$(xu|v) = (u|\sigma(x)v), \quad x \in \mathcal{L}, \quad u, v \in M,$$

and normalized by the condition $(\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Clearly, the distinct graded components of M are orthogonal with respect the Shapovalov form: $(M_n|M_k) = 0$ for $n \neq k$. We consider the restriction of the bilinear form on each component M_n . If we fix a basis in the space $U_{-n}(\mathcal{L}_-)$ then we will get bases in $M_n(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I)$ simultaneously for all $h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by \det_n the determinant of the Shapovalov form in this basis. Arbarello et al. established a formula for \det_n as a function of h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, c_I ([ACKP], (6.7)). In the case when $c_I = 0$, the determinant formula greatly simplifies. Here we present this reduction. Define the numbers $p_2(n)$ by the generating series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_2(n)q^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-2},$$

and let

$$\varphi_r = (h_I - (1+r)c_{LI})(h_I - (1-r)c_{LI}). \quad (1.4)$$

Then

$$\det_n(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}) = K_n \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq r \leq n \\ 1 \leq r \leq s \leq n}} \varphi_{r,s}^{p_2(n-rs)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\varphi_{r,s} = \begin{cases} \varphi_r \varphi_s, & \text{for } r \neq s, \\ \varphi_r, & \text{for } r = s, \end{cases}$$

and K_n is a non-zero constant independent of h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI} (in the notations of [ACKP] take $h_a = h_I - c_{LI}, c_3 = ic_{LI}, c_a = c_I = 0$).

2. Structure of the irreducible \mathcal{L} modules at level zero.

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $c_I = 0$ and $c_{LI} \neq 0$.*

(a) *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} = 1$ then the \mathcal{L} module $M = M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)$ is irreducible.*

(b) *If $\frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$ then $M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)$ possesses a singular vector $v \in M_p$, where $p = \left\lfloor \frac{h_I}{c_{LI}} - 1 \right\rfloor$. The factor-module $L = L(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0) = M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)/U(\mathcal{L}_-)v$ is irreducible and its character is*

$$\text{char } L = (1 - q^p) \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j)^{-2}.$$

Proof. Let us give a proof of part (a). Clearly, if there exists a singular vector $v \in M_n$ for some $n > 0$ then $\det_n = 0$. Then the determinant formula (1.5) implies that $\varphi_m = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (1.4) that $h_I/c_{LI} = 1 \pm m$. We conclude that if $h_I/c_{LI} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $h_I/c_{LI} = 1$ then the Verma module M does not possess a singular vector other than a vector of the highest weight. Thus the Verma module M is irreducible in this case. This completes the proof of part (a) of the Theorem.

To prove part (b), we will consider two cases: $1 - h_I/c_{LI} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_I/c_{LI} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof in both cases is essentially the same, so we will treat them in parallel.

Let us first outline the main idea of the proof. The Lie algebra \mathcal{L} has infinitely many Heisenberg subalgebras

$$\langle L(n), I(-n), I(0) - (n+1)C_{LI} \rangle_{n \neq 0}, \quad (2.1)$$

with the Lie bracket

$$[L(n), I(-n)] = n(I(0) - (n+1)C_{LI}).$$

The central element $I(0) - (n+1)C_{LI}$ acts on $M = M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)$ in a non-zero way precisely when $h_I/c_{LI} \neq 1 + n$. Thus in our case among the Heisenberg subalgebras (2.1), there will be one with a degenerate action on M , and the rest will act non-degenerately. We will exhibit a relation between the action of these Heisenberg subalgebras and certain formal operations of taking partial derivatives in M (see Lemma 3 below). The rest of the argument is reminiscent of the classical proof of irreducibility of a polynomial algebra as a module over a Heisenberg Lie algebra.

We will organize the proof of part (b) in a sequence of several lemmas. For the rest of the paper we fix $p = 1 - h_I/c_{LI} \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $p = h_I/c_{LI} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$).

From (1.4) we get that $\varphi_r = -c_{LI}^2(r-p)(r+p)$. Thus $\varphi_p = 0$, while $\varphi_r \neq 0$ for $r \neq p$, and it follows from the determinant formula (1.5) that $\det_p = 0$, while $\det_{p-1} \neq 0$. This implies the existence of a singular vector $v \in M_p$. Our goal is to show that the submodule V generated by this singular vector is the maximal submodule in M . To prove this, we need to study the properties of the singular vector v and of the submodule V .

Consider the following Poincaré -Birkhoff-Witt basis in $M = U(\mathcal{L}_)\mathbf{1}$:

$$\{I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k)L(-n_1) \dots L(-n_s)\mathbf{1}\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_k > 0$, $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s > 0$.

Note that the subalgebra \mathcal{L}_- has one more \mathbb{Z} grading by I -degree:

$$\mathcal{L}_- = (\mathcal{L}_-)_{0}^I \oplus (\mathcal{L}_-)_{1}^I,$$

where I -degree of $L(-n)$ is 0, and I -degree of $I(-n)$ is 1. We place a superscript I in the notation of the graded component in order to distinguish the grading by I -degree from the standard grading by the ordinary degree. This new grading on \mathcal{L}_- induces a \mathbb{Z} grading on $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$ and also on the Verma module $M = U(\mathcal{L}_-)\mathbf{1}$:

$$M = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j^I.$$

The I -degree of a monomial in (2.2) is k .

For a non-zero element $w \in M$ we will denote by \bar{w} its lowest non-zero homogeneous component with respect to I -degree:

$$w = \bar{w} + \text{terms of higher } I\text{-degree.}$$

We define on M the operations of formal partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial I(-m)}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial L(-n)}$. We set

$$\frac{\partial I(-j)}{\partial I(-m)} = \delta_{jm}, \quad \frac{\partial L(-j)}{\partial I(-m)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial I(-m)} \mathbf{1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial I(-j)}{\partial L(-n)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial L(-j)}{\partial L(-n)} = \delta_{jn}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial L(-n)} \mathbf{1} = 0,$$

and then define their action on monomials (2.2) by the Leibnitz rule. Finally, we extend these to M by linearity. Clearly, these operations are not canonical and depend on our choice of the basis.

Lemma 2. *Let $w \in M_k^I$ and let $n > 0$. Then*

- (a) $I(n)w \in M_k^I \oplus M_{k+1}^I$,
- (b) $L(n)w \in M_{k-1}^I \oplus M_k^I$.

The proof of this Lemma is a simple application of the Poincaré -Birkhoff-Witt argument and is left as an exercise.

We will also need the following subspace in M :

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{Span} \{ I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k) \mathbf{1} \mid m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_k > 0 \}.$$

The next Lemma exhibits the relation between the action of the Heisenberg subalgebras (2.1) and the formal partial derivatives.

Lemma 3. *Let w be a non-zero vector in M expanded in the basis (2.2), and denote by k the I -degree of its lowest component \bar{w} .*

(a) Suppose that $\bar{w} \notin \mathcal{I}$. Let n be the smallest integer such that $L(-n)$ occurs as a factor in one of the terms of \bar{w} . Then the part of $I(n)w$ of the I -degree k is given by

$$n(h_I + (n-1)c_{LI}) \frac{d\bar{w}}{\partial L(-n)}. \quad (2.3)$$

(b) Suppose that $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{I}, \bar{w} \notin \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Let m be the maximal integer such that $I(-m)$ occurs as a factor in one of the terms of \bar{w} . Then the part of $L(m)w$ of the I -degree $k-1$ is given by

$$m(h_I - (m+1)c_{LI}) \frac{d\bar{w}}{\partial I(-m)}. \quad (2.4).$$

Proof. Let us prove claim (a). By Lemma 2(a), the part of $I(n)w$ of I -degree k comes from $I(n)\bar{w}$. Let

$$x = I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k) L(-n_1) \dots L(-n_s) \mathbf{1}$$

be one of the monomials occurring in \bar{w} . It is sufficient to establish the claim of the Lemma for such a monomial. By our assumption, $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s \geq n$. We have

$$I(n)x = \sum_{i=1}^s I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k) L(-n_1) \dots [I(n), L(-n_i)] \dots L(-n_s) \mathbf{1}.$$

If $n_i > n$, we have $[I(n), L(-n_i)] = nI(-n_i + n)$, and the I -degree of the corresponding term will be $k+1$, so such terms will not contribute to the part of $I(n)x$ of I -degree k .

If $n_i = n$, then we have $[I(n), L(-n)] = n(I(0) + (n-1)c_{LI})$, and so the contribution of these terms is $n(h_I + (n-1)c_{LI}) \frac{\partial x}{\partial L(-n)}$. Combining these two cases, we obtain claim (a).

Let us now prove (b). By Lemma 2(b), the part of $L(m)w$ of I -degree $k-1$ comes from $L(m)\bar{w}$. Let

$$y = I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k) \mathbf{1}$$

be one of the monomials occurring in \bar{w} . It is sufficient to establish the claim of the Lemma for such a monomial. By our assumption, $m \geq m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_k$. We have

$$L(m)y = \sum_{i=1}^k I(-m_1) \dots [L(m), I(-m_i)] \dots I(-m_k) \mathbf{1}.$$

If $m > m_i$ then $[L(m), I(-m_i)] = m_i I(m - m_i)$, and the corresponding term vanishes since all I 's commute and $I(m - m_i) \mathbf{1} = 0$ when $m - m_i > 0$.

If $m = m_i$, then $[L(m), I(-m)] = m(I(0) - (m+1)c_{LI})$, and the contribution of these terms will yield $m(h_I - (m+1)c_{LI}) \frac{\partial y}{\partial I(-m)}$. The proof of the Lemma is now complete.

Note that the factor $(h_I + (n-1)c_{LI})$ in (2.3) vanishes only when $p = 1 - h_I/c_{LI} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = p$. The factor $(h_I - (m+1)c_{LI})$ in (2.4) is zero when $p = h_I/c_{LI} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$m = p$. The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial L(-n)}$ in (2.3) and $\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial I(-m)}$ in (2.4) are non-zero since by our assumptions \bar{w} involves $L(-n)$ in (a) and $I(-m)$ in (b).

In the following lemma we describe the decomposition of the singular vector $v \in M_p$ by I -degree.

Lemma 4. *The module M possesses a singular vector $v \in M_p$ with $\bar{v} = L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $\bar{v} = I(-p)\mathbf{1}$).*

Proof. We have already established the existence of a singular vector $v \in M_p$. Denote the I -degree of \bar{v} by k . Let us reason by contradiction and assume that \bar{v} is not a multiple of $L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $I(-p)\mathbf{1}$). If $\bar{v} \notin \mathcal{I}$, we apply Lemma 3(a), and find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I(n)v \neq 0$ (note that in case $p = 1 - h_I/c_{LI} \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n \neq p$ due to our assumption that \bar{v} is not a multiple of $L(-p)\mathbf{1}$). This contradicts to the fact that v is a singular vector. If $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{I}$ then we also get a contradiction in a similar way. We apply Lemma 3(b) to find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L(m)v \neq 0$ (note that in case $p = h_I/c_{LI} - 1$, we have $m \neq p$ due to our assumption that \bar{v} is not a multiple of $I(-p)\mathbf{1}$). Hence \bar{v} must be a multiple of $L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $I(-p)\mathbf{1}$) and we rescale v so that $\bar{v} = L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $\bar{v} = I(-p)\mathbf{1}$).

Remark. In fact it is possible to show that in case when $p = h_I/c_{LI} - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, the singular vector v belongs to $M_p \cap \mathcal{I}$.

Example. (i) If $h_I/c_{LI} = 0$ then the singular vector of degree 1 in $M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)$ is $v = (L(-1) + \frac{h}{c_{LI}}I(-1))\mathbf{1}$.

(ii) If $h_I/c_{LI} = 2$ then the singular vector of degree 1 in $M(h, h_I, c_L, c_{LI}, 0)$ is $v = I(-1)\mathbf{1}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to show that the submodule $V = U(\mathcal{L}_-)v$ generated by the singular vector $v \in M_p$ is the maximal submodule in M . To achieve this, we will need the following two corollaries to Lemma 4.

Corollary 5. *Let w be a non-zero vector in the submodule $V = U(\mathcal{L}_-)v$, written in the basis (2.2). Then there exist terms in \bar{w} , containing the factor $L(-p)$ (resp. $I(-p)$).*

Proof. Let $w = uv$, where $u \in U(\mathcal{L}_-)$. Since the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$ has no zero divisors, we have that $\bar{w} = \bar{u}\bar{v}$. However by Lemma 4, $\bar{v} = L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $\bar{v} = I(-p)\mathbf{1}$). Thus $\bar{w} = \bar{u}L(-p)\mathbf{1}$ (resp. $\bar{w} = \bar{u}I(-p)\mathbf{1}$). Using the fact that the graded algebra $grU(\mathcal{L}_-)$ associated with the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{L}_-)$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra, we conclude that all the terms in \bar{w} of the maximal length (length of a monomial in (2.2) is $s + k$) will contain a factor $L(-p)$ (resp. $I(-p)$). Thus we obtain the claim of the Corollary.

Corollary 6. *The images of the vectors*

$$\{I(-m_1) \dots I(-m_k)L(-n_1) \dots L(-n_s)\mathbf{1}\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_k > 0$, $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s > 0$, $n_i \neq p$ (resp. $m_i \neq p$), form the basis of the factor module M/V .

Proof. By the previous Corollary, the vectors (2.5) are linearly independent modulo V . The character of the subspace in M spanned by these vectors coincides with the character of the factor module

$$\text{char } M/V = (1 - q^p) \text{ char } U(\mathcal{L}_-).$$

Thus the images of the vectors (2.5) under the projection $M \rightarrow M/V$ form the basis of M/V .

The next lemma is equivalent to the claim of part (b) of Theorem 1. Our argument here will be quite similar to the one used in Lemma 4.

Lemma 7. *Let $w \in M$. If $\mathcal{L}_+w \subset V$ then $w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus V$.*

Proof. Without the loss of generality we may assume that w is homogeneous. Also the statement of the Lemma will not change if we add to w a vector from V . Applying Corollary 6, we may thus assume that w is a linear combination of vectors (2.5). We need to show that $w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

If $\bar{w} \notin \mathcal{I}$ then by Lemma 3(a) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the part of $I(n)w$ of the lowest I -degree is non-zero and belongs to the span of (2.5), because the subspace spanned by vectors (2.5) is closed under the operations of taking partial derivatives. However, by the assumption of the Lemma, $I(n)w \in V$, which gives us a contradiction to Corollary 5.

If $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{I}$, $\bar{w} \notin \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then by Lemma 3(b) there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the part of the lowest I -degree of $L(m)w$ is non-zero and belongs to the span of (2.5). This again contradicts to Corollary 5 since $L(m)w \in V$. This leaves us with the only possibility $\bar{w} \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and hence $w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. The Lemma is proved.

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 1(b), we note that by Lemma 7 the only singular vectors in $L = M/V$ are multiples of the highest weight vector. Thus L is irreducible.

The formula for the character follows from the obvious equalities

$$\text{char } L = (1 - q^p) \text{ char } U(\mathcal{L}_-)$$

and

$$\text{char } U(\mathcal{L}_-) = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j)^{-2}.$$

References:

- [ACKP] E. Arbarello, C. De Concini, V.G. Kac, C. Procesi, *Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory*, Comm.Math.Phys., **117** (1988), 1-36.
[B] Y. Billig, *Energy-momentum tensor for the toroidal Lie algebras*, preprint.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Carleton University
1125 Colonel By Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5B6
Canada
e-mail: billig@math.carleton.ca