

RESOLUTION OF AMBIGUITIES IN THE EVALUATION OF CUBIC AND QUARTIC JACOBSTHAL SUMS

RICHARD H. HUDSON AND KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2k}$ is a prime, the Jacobsthal sum $\Phi_k(D)$ is defined by

$$\Phi_k(D) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x(x^k+D)}{p} \right) \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots).$$

It is shown how to evaluate $\Phi_2(D)$ and $\Phi_3(D)$ for any integer D .

1. Introduction. The Jacobsthal sum $\Phi_k(D)$ is defined for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2k}$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi_k(D) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x(x^k + D)}{p} \right), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where (\overline{p}) is the Legendre symbol, and D is an integer not divisible by p . It is well-known (see for example [8: p. 104]) that

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi_k(Dm^k) = \left(\frac{m}{p} \right)^{k-1} \Phi_k(D), \quad m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In this paper, we show how to resolve the sign ambiguities in the evaluations of $\Phi_2(D)$ and $\Phi_3(D)$. (For a discussion of Jacobsthal sums see, for example, [7], [14], [1].)

2. $k = 2$. In this case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and there are integers a and b such that

$$(2.1) \quad p = a^2 + b^2, \quad a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad b \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

with a and $|b|$ unique. Relation (1.2) gives in this case

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_2(Dm^2) = \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) \Phi_2(D), \quad m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

so that it suffices to consider $\Phi_2(D)$ for squarefree D . Choosing m such that $m^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ in (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi_2(-D) = (-1)^{(p-1)/4} \Phi_2(D),$$

so that we may take D positive. Jacobsthal [7: pp. 240-241] has evaluated $\Phi_2(1)$. He has shown that

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi_2(1) = -2a,$$

and thus, by (2.2), for any D with $(D/p) = +1$, say $D \equiv E^2 \pmod{p}$,

one has

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_2(D) = -\left(\frac{E}{p}\right)2a .$$

Thus it suffices to consider $\Phi_2(D)$ for quadratic nonresidues D . Emma Lehmer [8: p. 107] has shown that, if $(2/p) = -1$,

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi_2(2) = \mp 2b \quad \text{according as } b \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{8}$$

and it follows from the work of Brewer [2: p. 243] and (2.3), that, if $(3/p) = -1$,

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi_2(3) = \pm(-1)^{(p-1)/4}2b \quad \text{according as } a \equiv \pm b \pmod{3} .$$

Jacobsthal [7: p. 241] has shown, for an arbitrary D satisfying $(D/p) = -1$, that

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi_2(D) = \pm 2b ,$$

and we begin in Theorem 1 by showing how to determine the correct sign in (2.8), when D is an odd prime q satisfying $(q/p) = -1$. Afterwards we illustrate how to prove the results for composite D .

Let q be an odd prime satisfying $(q/p) = -1$, so that $ab \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there are unique positive integers r and s such that

$$(2.9) \quad q = r^2 + s^2, \quad r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} .$$

Clearly r and s are not divisible by q . We define a set K , depending only on q , by

$$(2.10) \quad K = \left\{ k: -\frac{1}{2}(q-1) \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1), \right. \\ \left. r(sk+r)^{(q-1)/4} - s(sk-r)^{(q-1)/4} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \right\} .$$

Clearly $0 \notin K$. It is known that (see for example [4: p. 65])

$$(2.11) \quad q^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \pm a/b \pmod{p} \quad \text{according as } a \equiv \pm kb \pmod{q}$$

for some $k \in K$.

If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we define K by

$$(2.12) \quad K = \left\{ k: -\frac{1}{2}(q-1) \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1), \right. \\ \left. (k+i)^{(q+1)/4} - i(k-i)^{(q+1)/4} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \right\} .$$

Again we have $0 \notin K$. Further

$$(2.13) \quad (-q)^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \pm a/b \pmod{p} \text{ according as } a \equiv \pm kb \pmod{q}$$

for some $k \in K$.

We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4 and define a and b by (2.1). Let q be an odd prime satisfying $(q/p) = -1$. Then, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,*

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_2(q) = \pm 2b \text{ according as } a \equiv \pm kb \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in K;$$

if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$(2.15) \quad \Phi_2(q) = \pm (-1)^{(p-1)/4} 2b, \text{ if } a \equiv kb \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in K.$$

Proof. Emma Lehmer [10: p. 65] has proved that for $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,

$$(2.16) \quad D^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \Phi_2(D)/\Phi_2(1) \pmod{p}.$$

Taking $D = q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in (2.16), and appealing to (2.4) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\Phi_2(q) \equiv \begin{cases} +2b \pmod{p}, & \text{if } a \equiv kb \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in K, \\ -2b \pmod{p}, & \text{if } a \equiv -kb \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in K. \end{cases}$$

Since $\Phi_2(q) = \pm 2b$, by (2.8), and as $2b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we obtain (2.14). The case $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is similar.

We illustrate Theorem 1 by giving $\Phi_2(q)$ for odd primes $q \leq 19$ satisfying $(q/p) = -1$; $\alpha(p) = (p-1)/4$ with the upper signs and $(p+3)/4$ with the lower signs.

q	$\Phi_2(q)$	k satisfying $a \equiv kb \pmod{q}$
3	$(-1)^{\alpha(p)} 2b$	± 1
5	$\pm 2b$	∓ 1
7	$(-1)^{\alpha(p)} 2b$	$\mp 2, \mp 3$
11	$(-1)^{\alpha(p)} 2b$	$\mp 1, \mp 3, \mp 4$
13	$\pm 2b$	$\pm 1, \pm 2, \mp 6$
17	$\pm 2b$	$\pm 2, \mp 3, \pm 6, \pm 8$
19	$(-1)^{\alpha(p)} 2b$	$\pm 1, \mp 3, \pm 6, \mp 7, \pm 8$

The case $q = 3$ constitutes the result of Brewer (2.7).

We remark that these results can be combined to determine $\Phi_2(D)$ when D is composite and $(D/p) = -1$. We treat the case $D = 6 = 2 \times 3$. If $(6/p) = -1$, we have $(2/p) = +1$, $(3/p) = -1$, or $(2/p) =$

-1 , $(3/p) = +1$. In the former case, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi_2(2) = -\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_4 2a = -(-1)^{b/4} 2a,$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \Phi_2(3) = \pm 2b \quad \text{according as } a \equiv \pm b \pmod{3}.$$

From (2.16) we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \Phi_2(6) \equiv \frac{\Phi_2(2)\Phi_2(3)}{\Phi_2(1)} \pmod{p},$$

and so

$$\Phi_2(6) \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{b/4} 2b \pmod{p}, & \text{if } a \equiv b \pmod{3}, \\ (-1)^{b/4+1} 2b \pmod{p}, & \text{if } a \equiv -b \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (2.8), we have

$$(2.20) \quad \Phi_2(6) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{b/4} 2b, & \text{if } a \equiv b \pmod{3}, \\ (-1)^{b/4+1} 2b, & \text{if } a \equiv -b \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

The case when $(2/p) = -1$, $(3/p) = +1$ can be treated similarly.

3. $k = 3$. In this case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and there are integers L and M such that

$$(3.1) \quad 4p = L^2 + 27M^2, \quad L \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

with L and $|M|$ unique. Clearly we have $L \equiv M \pmod{2}$. Relation (1.2) gives in this case

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_3(Dm^3) = \Phi_3(D), \quad m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

so that it suffices to consider $\Phi_3(D)$ for cubefree D . Clearly $\Phi_3(-D) = \Phi_3(D)$, so that we may take D positive. It follows from the work of von Schrutka [13: p. 258] (see also Chowla [3: p. 246], Whiteman [14: p. 96]) that

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi_3(1) = \begin{cases} L - 1, & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L + 9M - 2), & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ & \text{and } L \equiv M \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L - 9M - 2), & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ & \text{and } L \equiv -M \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

From (3.2), $\Phi_3(k) = \Phi_3(1)$ for any cubic residue k modulo p , so that (3.3) gives unambiguously the value of $\Phi_3(k)$ for any cubic residue $k \pmod{p}$. Now 2 is a cubic residue \pmod{p} if and only if $L \equiv M \equiv 0$

(mod 2) [6: p. 68]. Thus we have

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_3(1) = \Phi_3(2) = \Phi_3(4) = L - 1, \\ \text{if } 2 \text{ is a cubic residue (mod } p).$$

When 2 is not a cubic residue (mod p), so that $L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, Emma Lehmer [8: p. 112] has proved that

$$(3.5) \quad \Phi_3(2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(-L - 9M - 2), & \text{if } L \equiv M \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L + 9M - 2), & \text{if } L \equiv -M \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi_3(4) = L - 1.$$

For an arbitrary cubic nonresidue D , it is known that

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi_3(D) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2}(-L - 9M - 2)), & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L + 9M - 2), \end{cases}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi_3(D) = \begin{cases} L - 1 & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L - 9M - 2), & L \equiv M \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi_3(D) = \begin{cases} L - 1 & \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L + 9M - 2), & L \equiv -M \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

It is our purpose in Theorem 2 to show how to eliminate the ambiguities in (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) when D is an odd prime q , which is a cubic nonresidue (mod p). (As q is a cubic nonresidue (mod p) we have $LM \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ [9: p. 26].)

Our starting point is the congruence

$$(3.10) \quad q^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (\Phi_3(q) + 1)/(\Phi_3(1) + 1) \pmod{p},$$

which is given in [10: p. 66]. From (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi_3(q) \equiv -1 + q^{(p-1)/3}(\Phi_3(1) + 1) \pmod{p}.$$

For $q \geq 5$, one of us [15: p. 282] has shown that there exists a set of integers \mathcal{L} (depending only on q) such that

$$(3.12) \quad q^{(p-1)/3} \equiv \begin{cases} (L + 9M)/(L - 9M) \pmod{p}, & \text{if } L \equiv kM \pmod{q} \\ & \text{for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ (L - 9M)/(L + 9M) \pmod{p}, & \text{if } L \equiv -kM \pmod{q} \\ & \text{for some } k \in \mathcal{L}. \end{cases}$$

It is shown in [15] that, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{L} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(q-1) \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1) : \right. \\ \left. (k^2 + 27)^{2(q-1)/3} (k + 3 + 6w)^{2(q-1)/3} \equiv w \pmod{q} \right\},$$

and, if $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{L} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(q-1) \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1) : \right. \\ \left. (k^2 + 27)^{(q-2)/3} (k + 3 + 6w)^{(q+1)/3} \equiv w \pmod{q} \right\},$$

where $w = \exp(2\pi i/3) = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-3})$. In particular, we have (see [15: p. 283])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \{+1, -2\}, & \text{if } q = 5, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \{+2, -3\}, & \text{if } q = 7, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \{-1, -2, -3, +5\}, & \text{if } q = 11. \end{aligned}$$

Appealing to (3.3), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), and (3.12), we obtain

THEOREM 2. *Let p be a prime congruent to 1 modulo 6 and define L and M by (3.1). Let $q \geq 5$ be an odd prime, which is a cubic nonresidue \pmod{p} . Then*

$$\Phi_3(q) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L - 1, \text{ if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, L \equiv M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ L \equiv -kM \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, L \equiv -M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ L \equiv kM \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L + 9M - 2), \\ \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, L \equiv -M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ L \equiv -kM \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } L \equiv kM \pmod{q} \\ \text{for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L - 9M - 2), \\ \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, L \equiv M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ L \equiv kM \pmod{q} \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } L \equiv -kM \pmod{q} \\ \text{for some } k \in \mathcal{L}. \end{array} \right.$$

We now treat the case $q = 3$. We have from [15: Theorem 1]

$$(3.15) \quad 3^{(p-1)/3} = \begin{cases} (L+9M)/(L-9M) \pmod{p}, & \text{if } M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ (L-9M)/(L+9M) \pmod{p}, & \text{if } M \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain

THEOREM 3. *Let p be a prime congruent to 1 modulo 6, for which 3 is a cubic nonresidue \pmod{p} . Then*

$$\Phi_3(3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L-1, \quad \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad L \equiv M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ \quad M \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad L \equiv -M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ \quad M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L-9M-2), \\ \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad L \equiv M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ \quad M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } M \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(-L+9M-2), \\ \text{if } L \equiv M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad L \equiv -M \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ \quad M \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{or} \\ L \equiv M \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } M \equiv -1 \pmod{3}. \end{array} \right.$$

We remark that $\Phi_3(q^2)$, where q is a prime, which is a cubic nonresidue \pmod{p} , is easily determined using Theorems 2 and 3 and the relation

$$(3.16) \quad \Phi_3(1) + \Phi_3(q) + \Phi_3(q^2) = -3,$$

see for example [14: p. 92]. Moreover, as in § 2, we can treat $\Phi_3(D)$ for composite D .

Finally we remark that the ideas of this paper can be used in conjunction with results in [10], [11] and [16] to treat $\Phi_5(D)$ and $\Phi_7(D)$ for certain values of D .

REFERENCES

1. B. C. Berndt and R. J. Evans, *Sums of Gauss, Jacobi and Jacobsthal*, J. Number Theory, **11** (1979), 349-398.
2. B. W. Brewer, *On certain character sums*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **99** (1961), 241-245.
3. S. Chowla, *The last entry in Gauss's diary*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **35** (1949), 244-246.
4. T. Gosset, *On the law of quartic reciprocity*, Mess. Math., **41** (1911), 65-90.

5. R. H. Hudson and K. S. Williams, *An application of Western's formulae to the evaluation of certain Jacobsthal sums*, (to appear in *Acta Arithmetica*).
6. C. G. J. Jacobi, *De residuis cubicis commentatio numerosa*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **2** (1827), 66-69.
7. E. Jacobsthal, *Über die Darstellung der Primzahlen der Form $4n+1$ als Summe zweier Quadrate*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **132** (1907), 238-245.
8. Emma Lehmer, *On the number of solutions of $u^k + D \equiv w^2 \pmod{p}$* , *Pacific J. Math.*, **5** (1955), 103-118.
9. ———, *Criteria for cubic and quartic residuacity*, *Mathematika*, **5** (1958), 20-29.
10. ———, *On Euler's criterion*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1959), 64-70.
11. B. S. Nashier and A. R. Rajwade, *Determination of a unique solution of the quadratic partition for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$* , *Pacific J. Math.*, **72** (1977), 513-521.
12. T. Pepin, *Mémoire sur les lois de réciprocity relatives aux résidus de puissances*, *Accademia pontificia dei nuovi lincei. Atti*, **31** (1878), 40-148.
13. L. von Schrutka, *Ein Beweis für die Zerlegbarkeit der Primzahlen der Form $6n + 1$ in ein einfaches und ein dreifaches Quadrat*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **140** (1911), 252-265.
14. A. L. Whiteman, *Cyclotomy and Jacobsthal sums*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **74** (1952), 89-99.
15. K. S. Williams, *On Euler's criterion for cubic nonresidues*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 277-283.
16. ———, *On Euler's criterion for quintic nonresidues*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **61** (1975), 543-550.

Received February 15, 1980 and in revised form October 27, 1980. Research by the second author was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant No. A-7233.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, SC 29208
AND
CARLETON UNIVERSITY
OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K1S 5B6