

NOTE ON THE KLOOSTERMAN SUM

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. The Kloosterman sum

$$\sum_{x=0; (x,p)=1}^{p^{\alpha}-1} \exp(2\pi i n(x + \bar{x})/p^{\alpha}),$$

where p is an odd prime, $\alpha \geq 2$ and $(n, p) = 1$, is evaluated in a very short direct way.

Let p denote an odd prime, α a positive integer, and n an integer such that $(n, p) = 1$. The Kloosterman sum $A_{p^\alpha}(n)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad A_{p^\alpha}(n) = \sum_{x=0}^{p^{\alpha}-1}' \exp(2\pi i n(x + \bar{x})/p^{\alpha}),$$

where the dash ('') indicates that x only takes values from $0, 1, \dots, p^{\alpha}-1$ which are coprime with p , and \bar{x} is the unique solution of the congruence $x\bar{x} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}$ satisfying $0 < \bar{x} < p^\alpha$. Salié [3] has evaluated $A_{p^\alpha}(n)$ explicitly when $\alpha \geq 2$. His evaluation is based upon induction. A direct (but fairly long) proof has been given by Whiteman [4] which requires results concerning Ramanujan sums. In this note we give a modification of Salié's original argument which gives a very short direct evaluation of $A_{p^\alpha}(n)$. (The referee has kindly pointed out that essentially the same technique has been used by Estermann [2], Carlitz [1].)

We let $\gamma = \alpha - [\alpha/2]$ and $\delta = [\alpha/2]$, so that $\alpha = \gamma + \delta$, $2\gamma \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma \geq \delta \geq 1$. Setting $x = u + v p^\gamma$ ($u = 0, 1, \dots, p^\gamma - 1$; $v = 0, 1, \dots, p^\delta - 1$) in (1), so that $\bar{x} \equiv \bar{u} - \bar{u}^2 v p^\gamma \pmod{p^\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{p^\alpha}(n) &= \sum_{u=0}^{p^\gamma-1} \sum_{v=0}^{p^\delta-1} \exp(2\pi i n((u + v p^\gamma) + (\bar{u} - \bar{u}^2 v p^\gamma))/p^\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^{p^\gamma-1} \exp(2\pi i n(u + \bar{u})/p^\alpha) \sum_{v=0}^{p^\delta-1} \exp(2\pi i n v(1 - \bar{u}^2)/p^\delta) \\ &= p^\delta \sum_{u=0; u^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\delta}}^{p^\gamma-1} \exp(2\pi i n(u + \bar{u})/p^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

If α is even, say $\alpha = 2\beta$, then $\gamma = \delta = \beta$, and as the solutions u of $u^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\beta}$ in the range $0 \leq u \leq p^\beta - 1$ are $u = 1, p^\beta - 1$ (so that

Received by the editors November 24, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 10G05.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

$\bar{u} = 1, p^{2\beta} - p^\beta - 1$ respectively) we have

$$A_{p^{2\beta}}(n) = p^\beta \left\{ \exp(4\pi i n/p^{2\beta}) + \exp(-4\pi i n/p^{2\beta}) \right\} = 2p^\beta \cos(4\pi n/p^{2\beta}).$$

If α is odd, say $\alpha = 2\beta + 1$, then $\gamma = \beta + 1$, $\delta = \beta$, and as the solutions u of $u^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\beta}$ in the range $0 \leq u \leq p^{\beta+1} - 1$ are $u = 1 + wp^\beta$ ($w = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$), $-1 + wp^\beta$ ($w = 1, 2, \dots, p$) (so that $\bar{u} \equiv 1 - wp^\beta + w^2 p^{2\beta}, -1 - wp^\beta - w^2 p^{2\beta} \pmod{p^{2\beta+1}}$ respectively) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{p^{2\beta+1}}(n) &= p^\beta \left\{ \exp(4\pi i n/p^{2\beta+1}) \sum_{w=0}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi i n w^2/p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \exp(-4\pi i n/p^{2\beta+1}) \sum_{w=1}^p \exp(-2\pi i n w^2/p) \right\} \\ &= 2(n/p)p^{\beta+1/2} \cos(4\pi n/p^{2\beta+1}), \quad \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ &= -2(n/p)p^{\beta+1/2} \sin(4\pi n/p^{2\beta+1}), \quad \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned}$$

using the well-known result [4]

$$\sum_{w=0}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi i n w^2/p) = (n/p)i^{(p-1)^2/4} p^{1/2}.$$

REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, *A note on multiple Kloosterman sums*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 29 (1965), 197–200. MR 34 #2532.
2. T. Estermann, *On Kloosterman's sum*, Mathematika 8 (1961), 83–86. MR 23 #A3716.
3. H. Salié, *Über die Kloostermanschen Summen $S(u, v; q)$* , Math. Z. 34 (1931), 91–109.
4. A. L. Whiteman, *A note on Kloosterman sums*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 373–377. MR 6, 259.

CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA