

A SUM OF FRACTIONAL PARTS—II

By

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

Let L_n denote the set of points $\mathfrak{x}=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with integral co-ordinates in Euclidean n -space, where $n \geq 3$. For any odd prime p , let $C=C(p, n)$ be the set of points of L_n in the cube $0 \leq x_i < p$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Suppose $f(\mathfrak{x})$ is any polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ in x_1, \dots, x_n with integral coefficients, which does not vanish identically (mod p). I let $\{a\}$ denote the fractional part of the real number a . In [1], I considered the problem of estimating

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\mathfrak{x} \in C} \left\{ \frac{f(\mathfrak{x})}{p} \right\},$$

for large primes p . I proved that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\mathfrak{x} \in C} \left\{ \frac{f(\mathfrak{x})}{p} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} p^n + O(p^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \log p),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, where here (and throughout this paper) the constant implied in the O -symbol depends only upon n and d . I conjectured, however, that the better result

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\mathfrak{x} \in C} \left\{ \frac{f(\mathfrak{x})}{p} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} p^n + O(p^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$$

holds. It is the purpose of this paper to prove the following

Theorem. For almost all homogeneous polynomials $f(\mathfrak{x})$ of degree $d \geq 1$ in the $n \geq 3$ variables $\mathfrak{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{x} \in C} \left\{ \frac{f(\mathfrak{x})}{p} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} p^n + O(p^{n-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

We begin by introducing a little notation. We write

$$(4) \quad f(\mathfrak{x}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n=0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = d}}^d a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n},$$

where, without loss of generality, we can take

(5) $0 \leq a_{i_1} \dots i_n \leq p-1.$

As $f(x)$ is assumed not to vanish identically (mod p), not all the $a_{i_1} \dots i_n$ will vanish. In all, there will be

(6) $k \equiv k(n, d) = \binom{n+d-1}{d}.$

coefficients $a_{i_1} \dots i_n$. We note that

(7) $k > n.$

Lastly, we let $e(t)$ denote $\exp(2\pi i t p^{-1})$ for all real t . We shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

(8)
$$\sum_{\deg f=d} e(t f(x)) = \begin{cases} p^k - 1, & \text{if } \underline{x} \equiv \underline{0} \\ -1, & \text{if } \underline{x} \not\equiv \underline{0} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. We have

(9)
$$\sum_{\deg f=d} e(t f(x)) = \prod_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n=0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = d}}^d \left\{ \sum_{a_{i_1} \dots i_n=0}^{p-1} e(t a_{i_1} \dots i_n x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) \right\} + 1$$

If $\underline{x} \equiv \underline{0}$, the right hand side of (9) is just

$$\prod_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n=0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = d}}^d \sum_{a_{i_1} \dots i_n=0}^{p-1} 1 = p^k.$$

If $\underline{x} \not\equiv \underline{0}$, there is an integer $l (1 \leq l \leq n)$ such that $x_l \not\equiv 0$, so

$$\sum_{a_{0 \dots 0 l 0 \dots 0}=0}^{p-1} e(t a_{0 \dots 0 l 0 \dots 0} x^d) = 0.$$

Thus the right-hand side of (9) vanishes in this case. This completes the proof of (8).

Lemma 2. If $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

$$(10) \sum_{\deg f=d} e(tf(\underline{x})+uf(\underline{y})) = \begin{cases} p^k - 1, & \text{if } tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \equiv 0 \\ & \text{for all } i_1, \dots, i_n \text{ satisfying} \\ & 0 \leq i_j \leq d \ (j=1, 2, \dots, n) \text{ and} \\ & \qquad i_1 + \dots + i_n = d \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have as before

$$(11) \sum_{\deg f=d} e(tf(\underline{x})+uf(\underline{y})) + 1 = \prod_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n=0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = d}}^d \left\{ \sum_{a_{i_1} \dots i_n = 0}^{p-1} e(a_{i_1} \dots i_n (tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n})) \right\}.$$

If $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ are such that

$$tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \equiv 0$$

are all i_1, \dots, i_n satisfying $0 \leq i_j \leq d \ (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_n = d$, then the right-hand side of (11) is just

$$\prod_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n=0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = d}}^d \left\{ \sum_{a_{i_1} \dots i_n = 0}^{p-1} 1 \right\} = p^k.$$

Otherwise, there is a n -tuple (i_1, \dots, i_n) such that

$$tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \not\equiv 0$$

and so for this

$$\sum_{a_{i_1} \dots i_n = 0}^{p-1} e(a_{i_1} \dots i_n (tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n})) = 0,$$

which implies the vanishing of the right-hand side of (11). This completes the proof of (10).

Lemma 3. The number N of solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of the system of congruences

$$(12) \quad tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \equiv 0,$$

where the i_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$) run through all integers satisfying $0 \leq i_j \leq d$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_n = d$ and $t, u \not\equiv 0$, satisfies $N \leq d^n p^n$.

Proof. The number of solutions of (12) is less than or equal to the number of the system

$$(13) \quad tx_i^d + uy_i^d \equiv 0 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The number of pairs (x_i, y_i) satisfying (13) is just

$$1 + (p-1)w,$$

where

$$w = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (-tu^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{(d, p-1)}} \not\equiv 1 \\ (d, p-1) & \text{if } (-tu^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{(d, p-1)}} \equiv 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence the required number N satisfies

$$N \leq \{1 + (p-1)w\}^n \leq d^n p^n.$$

Lemma 4.

$$\sum_{\deg f = d} \left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right) = \frac{1}{2} p^{n+k} + O(p^{n+k-1}).$$

Proof. It was shown in [1] that

$$\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \epsilon(t(f(\underline{x}) - r))$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\deg f = d} \left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \epsilon(-rt) \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} e(tf(\underline{x})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} 1 \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} e(-rt) \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} e(t \cdot f(\underline{x})) \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^2} \cdot \frac{(p-1)p}{2} \cdot p^n \cdot (p^k - 1) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} e(-rt) \left((p^k - 1) - (p^n - 1) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 1. Now

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} e(-rt) (p^k - p^n) = -\frac{1}{p^2} \cdot \frac{(p-1)p}{2} \cdot (p^k - p^n)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\deg f = d} \left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} (p-1) p^{k-1} (p^n - 1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} p^{n+k} + O(p^{n+k-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.

$$\sum_{\deg f = d} \left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} p^{2n+k} + O(p^{2n+k-1}).$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} e(t(f(\underline{x}) - r)) \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} rs \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{\underline{y} \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \\
 &\quad e(t(f(\underline{x}) - r) + u(f(\underline{y}) - s))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\deg f = d} \left(\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(\underline{x})}{p} \right\} \right)^2 \\
= & \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r e(-rt) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s e(-su) \\
& \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} e(t f(\underline{x}) + u f(\underline{y})) \\
= & A_{00} + A_{01} + A_{10} + A_{11},
\end{aligned}$$

where firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{00} &= \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{p^4} \cdot \left(\frac{(p-1)p}{2} \right)^2 \cdot p^{2n} \cdot (p^k - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} (p-1)^2 p^{2n-2} (p^k - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} p^{2n+k} + O(p^{2n+k-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

secondly,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{01} = A_{10} &= \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s e(-su) \sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\deg f = d} e(u f(\underline{y})) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p-1)p}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s e(-su) \cdot p^n \cdot \{ p^k - 1 - (p^n - 1) \} \\
&\quad \text{(by lemma 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{p^4} \frac{(p-1)p}{2} \cdot p^n \cdot (p^k - p^n) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} e(-su) \\
&= -\frac{1}{p^4} \left(\frac{(p-1)p}{2} \right)^2 p^n (p^k - p^n) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} (p-1)^2 p^{2n-2} (p^{k-n} - 1) = O(p^{n+k-2}),
\end{aligned}$$

and finally,

$$A_{11} = \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} re(-rt) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} se(-su) \\ \sum_{\underline{x} \in C} \sum_{\underline{y} \in C} \sum_{\deg f=d} e(tf(\underline{x}) + uf(\underline{y})).$$

Let $A_{11} = A_{110} + A_{111}$,

where

$$A_{110} = \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} re(-rt) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} se(-su) \\ \sum_{\underline{x} \in C} \sum_{\underline{y} \in C} \sum_{\deg f=d} e(tf(x) + uf(y)), \\ tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \equiv 0 \\ \text{all } i_1, \dots, i_n,$$

so by lemmas 2 and 3 we have

$$|A_{110}| \leq \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \cdot d^n \cdot p^n \cdot (p^k - 1) \\ = \frac{1}{p^4} (p-1)^2 \left(\frac{(p-1)p}{2}\right)^2 d^n p^n (p^k - 1) \\ \leq \frac{d^n}{4} \cdot p^{n+k+2} \quad \text{i.e. } A_{110} = O(p^{n+k+2}).$$

Now

$$A_{111} = \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} re(-rt) \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} se(-st) \\ \sum_{\underline{x} \in C} \sum_{\underline{y} \in C} \sum_{\deg f=d} e(tf(\underline{x}) + uf(\underline{y})) \\ tx_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + uy_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n} \equiv 0 \\ \text{some } i_1, \dots, i_n$$

so by lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_{111}| &\leq \frac{1}{p^4} \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s \cdot p^{2n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^4} (p-1)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{(p-1)p}{2}\right)^2 p^{2n} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} p^{2n+2}, \quad \text{i.e. } A_{111} = O(p^{2n+2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A_{11} = O(p^{n+k+2})$$

as $k > n$. This completes the proof of lemma 5.

Proof of Theorem. By lemmas 4 and 5

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\deg f=d} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{p} \right\} - \frac{p^n}{2} \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\deg f=d} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{p} \right\} \right)^2 - p^n \sum_{\deg f=d} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{p} \right\} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{p^{2n}}{4} \sum_{\deg f=d} 1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} p^{2n+k} + O(p^{2n+k-1}) - p^n \left(\frac{p^{n+k}}{2} + O(p^{n+k-1}) \right) + \frac{p^{2n+k}}{4} + O(p^{2n}) \\
 &= O(p^{2n+k-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence *almost all* homogeneous polynomials of degree $d \geq 1$ in $n \geq 3$ variables satisfy

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{p} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} p^n + O(p^{n-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

REFERENCE

1. K. S. Williams, A sum of fractional parts, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).

University of Manchester,
Manchester 13,
England.