

## MATH 2100 Assignment 11 Solutions

**Problem 1.** If  $n, a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $d = (n, a)$ , show that the equation  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  has a solution if and only if  $d = 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ): Let  $x$  be a solution to the equation. Then

$$\begin{aligned}ax &\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ax &= 1 + kn \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ax - kn &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Thus 1 is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $n$ . But we know (Theorem 0.2) that  $d = (a, n)$  is the smallest positive integer we can make that way, so we must have  $d = 1$ . (Another way to see this is to notice that  $d$  divides the left side, so it must divide the right.)

( $\impliedby$ ): If  $d = 1$ , we can write 1 as a linear combination of  $a$  and  $n$ . Say

$$as + nt = 1$$

But now

$$\begin{aligned}as &= 1 + nt \\as &\equiv 1 \pmod{n}\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x = s$  is a solution to the given equation.

$\therefore (\iff)$

□

(Note: We *could* do this proof with a chain of if-and-only-ifs, but it would take more care. It's easy for mistakes to creep in when every step has to be reversible. In most cases, proving one direction at a time is wiser.)

**Problem 2.** If  $a, x, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n > 1$ , and  $r \equiv x \pmod{n}$ , then

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \implies ar \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

*Proof.* Begin by “decoding” the mod statement  $r \equiv x \pmod{n}$  to get  $r = x + kn$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This is very often a good way to start.) Now

$$\begin{aligned}ar &= a(x + kn) \\&= ax + akn \\&\equiv ax \pmod{n} \\&\equiv 1 \pmod{n},\end{aligned}$$

as required.

□

**Problem 3.** Show that  $U(n) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_n : (m, n) = 1\}$  is a group for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.*

- **Associativity:** This property is usually inherited somehow, and  $U(n)$  is no exception. It lies inside  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , where we know multiplication is associative.
- **Identity:** We know 1 is an identity in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , so we just need to check that it's in  $U(n)$ . Since  $(1, n) = 1$ , it is.
- **Inverse:** Let  $m \in U(n)$ ; then  $(m, n) = 1$ . By problem 1, there is some  $x$  such that

$$mx \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

This  $x$  is *not* in general the inverse of  $m$ , because it need not be in  $U(n)$ . We can fix this by using the division algorithm to write  $x = qn + r$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq r < n$ . Then  $r \equiv x \pmod{n}$ , so problem 2 gives

$$mr \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Finally, applying problem 1 in reverse, we see that  $(r, n) = 1$ . Together with  $0 \leq r < n$ , this gives  $r \in U(n)$ , and it multiplies with  $m$  to make the identity, so  $r = m^{-1}$ .

- **Closure:** (You were allowed to assume this, but let's prove it anyway.) Let  $m, m' \in U(n)$  and suppose  $(mm', n) \neq 1$ . Then there is at least one prime  $p$  that divides both  $mm'$  and  $n$ . But if  $p \mid mm'$ , it must divide either  $m$  or  $m'$  (Euclid's Lemma). If it divides  $m$ , we have  $p \mid m$  and  $p \mid n$ , so  $p \mid (m, n)$  – impossible since  $m \in U(n)$ . Similarly,  $p$  can't divide  $m'$ . This is a contradiction.  $\therefore (mm', n) = 1$ , and we can convert  $mm'$  to an element of  $U(n)$  the same way we did with  $m^{-1}$ .

□

**Problem 4.** Show that  $U_n$  is a subgroup of  $GL(V)$ , where  $V$  is a complex finite-dimensional vector space,  $U_n$  is the unitary linear operators on  $V$ , and  $GL(V)$  is the invertible linear operators on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $I \in U_n$ , so the set is nonempty. We'll use the one-step subgroup test. Let  $S, T \in U_n$ ; then  $S^* = S^{-1}$ ,  $T^* = T^{-1}$ . We want to check if  $ST^{-1} \in U_n$ , so we'll see if it has the defining property.

$$\begin{aligned} (ST^{-1})^* &= (T^{-1})^* S^* \\ &= (T^*)^* S^{-1} \\ &= TS^{-1} \\ &= (ST^{-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $ST^{-1} \in U_n$ .  $\therefore U_n$  is a subgroup of  $GL(V)$ .

□

(**Note:** The proof can also be done with inner products, but this method is simpler. Don't forget to ask yourself why  $U_n$  is a subset of  $GL(V)$ .)

**Problem 5.** Show that if  $G$  meets all group conditions except invertibility, the existence of left inverses in  $G$  is sufficient to show that  $G$  is a group.

*Proof.* We must show that (two-sided) inverses exist. Let  $x \in G$ . We know  $x$  has a left inverse, i.e. there is some  $a \in G$  such that  $ax = e$ . By the same token,  $a$  has a left inverse  $b$  such that  $ba = e$ . Left-multiplying both sides of the first equation by  $b$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} b(ax) &= be \\ (ba)x &= b \\ ex &= b \\ x &= b \end{aligned}$$

But now the second equation becomes  $xa = e$ . Thus  $a$  is both a left and a right inverse for  $x$ , and  $x$  was arbitrary, so we have true inverses for every element of  $G$ .  $\square$

(**Note:** You lost marks here if you used the  $^{-1}$  symbol before the end.  $x^{-1}$  always means the two-sided inverse of  $x$ , and you have to show that it exists before you use it.)

**Problem 6.** Show that  $\mu_n = \{e^{2\pi ik/n} : 0 \leq k < n\}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_n$  is finite, we need only show that it's closed under the group operation. Let  $a, b \in \mu_n$ ; then  $a = e^{2\pi ik/n}$ ,  $b = e^{2\pi il/n}$  for some  $0 \leq k, l < n$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= e^{2\pi ik/n} e^{2\pi il/n} \\ &= e^{2\pi i(k+l)/n} \end{aligned}$$

If  $k + l$  were in the required range, we'd be done, but this is not guaranteed. However, since  $e^{2\pi in/n} = e^{2\pi i} = 1$ , we can "cast out" any multiple of  $n$  from the exponent without changing the answer. This should remind you of modular arithmetic, and we'll use the same tricks here. Let

$$k + l = qn + r$$

where  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq r < n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= e^{2\pi i(qn+r)/n} \\ &= e^{2\pi i(qn)/n} e^{2\pi i(r)/n} \\ &= (e^{2\pi in/n})^q e^{2\pi ir/n} \\ &= 1^q e^{2\pi ir/n} \\ &= e^{2\pi ir/n} \in \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu_n$  is closed under multiplication and is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ .  $\square$

(**Note:** Some of you noticed that  $k + l$  is less than  $2n$ , so at most one  $n$  needs casting out; we don't need the more general approach above. However, it is applicable to other situations, such as looking at powers of elements of  $\mu_n$ .)